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The *Planck* mission
Planck timeline

- Proposal started in 1992
- Accepted by ESA in 1996
- Launch May 2009
- First release of results for cosmology (T only) March 2013
- Second release of results for cosmology (T and P except at low l) ≈ February 2015
- Finals cosmology results (mainly low-l P) (mid-2016)
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Planck Capabilities
### Planck Capabilities

Table 2. Planck performance parameters determined from flight data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Channel</th>
<th>$N_{\text{detector}}$</th>
<th>$\nu_{\text{center}}$ [GHz]</th>
<th>FWHM [arcmin]</th>
<th>Ellipticity</th>
<th>$[\mu K_{\text{RJ}} , s^{1/2}]$</th>
<th>$[\mu K_{\text{CMB}} , s^{1/2}]$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>30 GHz</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>33.16</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td>145.4</td>
<td>148.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44 GHz</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>44.1</td>
<td>28.09</td>
<td>1.25</td>
<td>164.8</td>
<td>173.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70 GHz</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>70.4</td>
<td>13.08</td>
<td>1.27</td>
<td>133.9</td>
<td>151.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100 GHz</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>9.59</td>
<td>1.21</td>
<td>31.52</td>
<td>41.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>143 GHz</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>7.18</td>
<td>1.04</td>
<td>10.38</td>
<td>17.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>217 GHz</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>217</td>
<td>4.87</td>
<td>1.22</td>
<td>7.45</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>353 GHz</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>353</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>5.52</td>
<td>78.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>545 GHz</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>545</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>1.18</td>
<td>2.66</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>857 GHz</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>857</td>
<td>4.51</td>
<td>1.38</td>
<td>1.33</td>
<td>0.0259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Planck ILC map
Theory – origin of the CMB anisotropy

Sachs-Wolfe formula

\[ \frac{\delta T}{T}(\hat{n}) = \left[ \frac{1}{4} \delta_\gamma + v_\gamma \cdot n + \Phi_i \right] + 2 \int_i^f d\eta \frac{\partial \Phi'}{\partial \eta}(\eta, \hat{n}(\eta_0 - \eta)} \]

\( \Phi \equiv \) Newtonian gravitational potential (dimensionless)
\( \delta_\gamma \) and \( v_\gamma \) describe the fractional density contrast and peculiar 3-velocity of the photon component.

This treatment is somewhat naive because it assumes that the surface of last scatter is infinitely thin.
In reality the surface of last scatter has a width the smears the anisotropies on small scales.
The deadly sins of a non-inflationary universe.

1. Monopole problem
2. Horizon problem
3. Flatness problem
4. Smoothness problem
Single-Field Inflation

In the beginning there was a scalar field that dominated the universe. Everything came from this scalar field and there was nothing without the scalar field. The quantum fluctuations of this field (that is, those of the vacuum) generated small fluctuations that advanced or retarded the instant of re-heating. These were the seeds of the large-scale structure.

\[ \ddot{\phi} + 3H\dot{\phi} = -V,\phi \]
Massless scalar field in de Sitter space

\[ H_{\text{phys}} = \text{(constant)}. \]

\[ ds^2 = -\frac{1}{\eta^2} (-d\eta^2 + dx^2), \quad -\infty < \eta < 0. \]

\[ S = \int \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu\nu} (\partial_\mu \phi)(\partial_\nu \phi) = \int d^4x \quad a^2(\eta) \left[ \left( \frac{\partial \phi}{\partial \eta} \right)^2 - (\nabla \phi)^2 \right] \]

\[ \frac{\partial^2 \phi}{\partial \eta^2} - 2 \frac{\partial \phi}{\eta \partial \eta} + k^2 \phi = 0 \]

Bessel equation

\[ \phi(\eta) = \eta^{3/2} H_{3/2}^{(1)}(-k\eta) \]

\((k\eta) \approx 1 \text{ horizon crossing.}\)

**Important points:**

- Both the inflaton/scalar gravity degrees of freedom and the tensor metric perturbations exhibit the same qualitative behavior as the above idealized example.

- Modes fluctuation on subhorizon scales but become frozen in on superhorizon scales and stay frozen in until after the end of inflation.
Perturbations generated during inflation

\[ \hbar = c = 1, M_{pl}^{-2} \]
\[ \delta \phi \approx H \quad \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \approx H \cdot \delta t, \quad \delta t \approx \frac{\delta \phi}{\phi} \]

\[ H \dot{\phi} \approx V, \phi, \quad \dot{\phi} \approx V,\phi / H, \quad H^2 \approx \frac{1}{M_{pl}^2} V, \quad \frac{\delta \rho}{\rho} \approx \frac{V^{3/2}[\phi(k)]}{M_{pl}^3 V,\phi} \]

Scalar perturbations:
\[ P_{s}^{1/2}(k) \approx O(1) \cdot \frac{V^{3/2}[\phi(k)]}{M_{pl}^3 V,\phi[\phi(k)]} \]

Tensor perturbations:
\[ P_{T}^{1/2}(k) \approx O(1) \cdot \frac{H}{M_{pl}} \approx O(1) \cdot \frac{V^{1/2}}{M_{pl}^2} \]

\( \phi(k) \equiv \text{value of } \phi \text{ at horizon crossing of the mode } k \)

Reconstruction of the inflationary potential: the tensors measure the height of the potential, the scalars the slope.
Fig. 1. The Planck 2015 temperature power spectrum. At multipoles $\ell \geq 30$ we show the maximum likelihood frequency averaged temperature spectrum computed from the Plik cross-half-mission likelihood with foreground and other nuisance parameters determined from the MCMC analysis of the base $\Lambda$CDM cosmology. In the multipole range $2 \leq \ell \leq 29$, we plot the power spectrum estimates from the Commander component-separation algorithm computed over 94% of the sky. The best-fit base $\Lambda$CDM theoretical spectrum fitted to the Planck TT+lowP likelihood is plotted in the upper panel. Residuals with respect to this model are shown in the lower panel. The error bars show $\pm 1 \sigma$ uncertainties.
The six-parameter concordance model

User parameterization of primordial power spectrum used here:

\[ P(k) = A_S(k/k_p)^{ns} \]
Table 5. Constraints on 1-parameter extensions to the base $\Lambda$CDM model for combinations of Planck power spectra, Planck lensing, and external data (BAO+JLA+$H_0$, denoted “ext”). Note that we quote 95% limits here.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>TT</th>
<th>TT+lensing</th>
<th>TT+lensing+ext</th>
<th>TT, TE, EE</th>
<th>TT, TE, EE+lensing</th>
<th>TT, TE, EE+lensing+ext</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$\Omega_k$</td>
<td>$-0.052^{+0.049}_{-0.055}$</td>
<td>$-0.005^{+0.016}_{-0.017}$</td>
<td>$-0.0001^{+0.0054}_{-0.0052}$</td>
<td>$-0.040^{+0.038}_{-0.041}$</td>
<td>$-0.004^{+0.015}_{-0.015}$</td>
<td>$0.0008^{+0.0040}_{-0.0039}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Sigma m_p$ [eV]</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.715$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.675$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.234$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.492$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.589$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.194$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$N_{\text{eff}}$</td>
<td>$3.13^{+0.64}_{-0.63}$</td>
<td>$3.13^{+0.62}_{-0.61}$</td>
<td>$3.15^{+0.41}_{-0.40}$</td>
<td>$2.99^{+0.41}_{-0.39}$</td>
<td>$2.94^{+0.38}_{-0.38}$</td>
<td>$3.04^{+0.33}_{-0.33}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$y_P$</td>
<td>$0.252^{+0.041}_{-0.042}$</td>
<td>$0.251^{+0.040}_{-0.040}$</td>
<td>$0.251^{+0.035}_{-0.036}$</td>
<td>$0.250^{+0.026}_{-0.027}$</td>
<td>$0.247^{+0.026}_{-0.027}$</td>
<td>$0.249^{+0.026}_{-0.026}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$dn_s/d\ln k$</td>
<td>$-0.008^{+0.016}_{-0.016}$</td>
<td>$-0.003^{+0.015}_{-0.015}$</td>
<td>$-0.005^{+0.015}_{-0.014}$</td>
<td>$-0.006^{+0.014}_{-0.014}$</td>
<td>$-0.002^{+0.013}_{-0.013}$</td>
<td>$-0.002^{+0.013}_{-0.013}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_0.002$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.103$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.114$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.114$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.0987$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.112$</td>
<td>$&lt; 0.113$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w$</td>
<td>$-1.54^{+0.62}_{-0.59}$</td>
<td>$-1.41^{+0.64}_{-0.56}$</td>
<td>$-1.006^{+0.085}_{-0.091}$</td>
<td>$-1.55^{+0.58}_{-0.48}$</td>
<td>$-1.42^{+0.52}_{-0.56}$</td>
<td>$-1.019^{+0.075}_{-0.080}$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 12. Marginalized joint 68% and 95% CL regions for $n_s$ and $r_{0.002}$ from Planck in combination with other data sets, compared to the theoretical predictions of selected inflationary models.
Table 6. Results of the inflationary model comparison. We provide \( \Delta \chi^2 \) with respect to base \( \Lambda \)CDM and Bayes factors with respect to \( R^2 \) inflation.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inflationary model</th>
<th>( \Delta \chi^2 ) ( w_{int} = 0 )</th>
<th>( \Delta \chi^2 ) ( w_{int} \neq 0 )</th>
<th>( \ln B_{0i} ) ( w_{int} = 0 )</th>
<th>( \ln B_{0i} ) ( w_{int} \neq 0 )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( R + K^2/(6M^2) )</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>.</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 2/3 )</td>
<td>+6.5</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 1 )</td>
<td>+6.2</td>
<td>+5.5</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
<td>-1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 4/3 )</td>
<td>+6.4</td>
<td>+5.5</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 2 )</td>
<td>+8.6</td>
<td>+8.1</td>
<td>-4.7</td>
<td>-4.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 3 )</td>
<td>+22.8</td>
<td>+21.7</td>
<td>-11.6</td>
<td>-11.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( n = 4 )</td>
<td>+43.3</td>
<td>+41.7</td>
<td>-23.3</td>
<td>-22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Natural</td>
<td>+7.2</td>
<td>+6.5</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop ( (p = 2) )</td>
<td>+4.4</td>
<td>+3.9</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hilltop ( (p = 4) )</td>
<td>+3.7</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Double well</td>
<td>+5.5</td>
<td>+5.3</td>
<td>-3.1</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brane inflation ( (p = 2) )</td>
<td>+3.0</td>
<td>+2.3</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brane inflation ( (p = 4) )</td>
<td>+2.8</td>
<td>+2.3</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exponential inflation</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SB SUSY</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Supersymmetric ( \alpha )-model</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>-1.8</td>
<td>-2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superconformal ( (m = 1) )</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>+0.8</td>
<td>-2.3</td>
<td>-2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superconformal ( (m \neq 1) )</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>+0.5</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
<td>-2.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Fig. 13. Posterior distributions for the first four potential slow-roll parameters when the potential is Taylor-expanded to $n$th order, using *Planck* TT+lowP+BAO (filled contours) or TT,TE,EE+lowP (dashed contours). The primordial spectra are computed *beyond* any slow-roll approximation.
**Fig. 15.** Observable range of the best-fit inflaton potentials, when $V(\phi)$ is Taylor expanded to the $n$th order around the pivot value $\phi_*$, in natural units (where $\sqrt{8\pi} M_{\text{pl}} = 1$), assuming a flat prior on $\epsilon V, \eta V, \xi^2_V$, and $\omega^3_V$, and using *Planck* TT+lowP+BAO. Potentials obtained under the transformation $(\phi - \phi_*) \rightarrow (\phi_* - \phi)$ leave the same observable signature and are also allowed. The sparsity of potentials with a small $V_0 = V(\phi_*)$ comes from the flat prior on $\epsilon V$ rather than on $\ln(V_0)$; in fact, $V_0$ is unbounded from below in
Underlying question: conventional parameterization

What is the primordial power spectrum?

- For lack of a fundamental theory, expand in powers of $\ln(k)$

\[
\ln (\mathcal{P}(\ln k)) = \mathcal{P}_0 \left( \ln(k/k_{piv}) \right)^0 + \mathcal{P}_1 \left( \ln(k/k_{piv}) \right)^1 + \mathcal{P}_2 \left( \ln(k/k_{piv}) \right)^2 + \ldots
\]

\[
\mathcal{P}(k) = A(k/k_{piv})^{(n_s - 1)}
\]

or

\[
\mathcal{P}(k) = A(k/k_{piv})^{(n_s - 1) + \alpha \ln(k/k_{piv}) + \ldots}
\]

- \textit{Planck} seems to be telling us that the first two terms suffice, and using just the first term can be ruled out at a respectable statistical significance. $n_S \neq 1$ implies exact scale invariance needs to be downgraded to an approximate symmetry. No statistically significant evidence for running of the spectral index.
\[ f^T R(\lambda, \alpha) f \equiv \lambda \int d\kappa \left( \frac{\partial^2 f(\kappa)}{\partial \kappa^2} \right)^2 \]

\[ + \alpha \int_{-\infty}^{\kappa_{\text{min}}} d\kappa \ f^2(\kappa) + \alpha \int_{\kappa_{\text{max}}}^{+\infty} d\kappa \ f^2(\kappa). \]
Fig. 21. Planck TT likelihood primordial power spectrum (PPS)
Fig. 22. Planck TT,TE,EE+lowTEB likelihood primordial power spectrum reconstruction results. Top four panels:
Fig. 18. Fiducial lensing power spectrum estimates based on the 100, 143, and 217 GHz frequency reconstructions, as well as the minimum-variance reconstruction that forms the basis for the Planck lensing likelihood.
Planck 2013 Results. XXIV. Constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity


The Planck nominal mission cosmic microwave background (CMB) maps yield unprecedented constraints on primordial non-Gaussianity (NG). Using three optimal bispectrum estimators, separable template-fitting (KSW), binned, and modal, we obtain consistent values for the primordial local, equilateral, and orthogonal bispectrum amplitudes, quoting as our final result $f_{\text{local}}^{NL} = 2.7 \pm 5.8$, $f_{\text{equil}}^{NL} = -42 \pm 75$, and $f_{\text{ortho}}^{NL} = -25 \pm 39$ (68% CL statistical); and we find the integrated Sachs-Wolfe lensing bispectrum expected in the $\Lambda$CDM scenario. The results are based on comprehensive cross-validation of these estimators on Gaussian and non-Gaussian simulations, are stable across component separation techniques, pass an extensive suite of tests, and are confirmed by skew-$C_l$, wavelet bispectrum and Minkowski functional estimators. Beyond estimates of individual shape amplitudes, we present model-independent, three-dimensional reconstructions of the Planck CMB bispectrum and thus derive constraints on early-Universe scenarios that generate primordial NG, including general single-field models of inflation, excited initial states (non-Bunch-Davies vacua), and directionally-dependent vector models. We provide an initial survey of scale-dependent feature and resonance models. These results bound both general single-field and multi-field model parameter ranges, such as the speed of sound, $c_s \geq 0.02(95\% CL)$, in an effective field theory parametrization, and the curvaton decay fraction $r_D \geq 0.15(95\% CL)$. The Planck data put severe pressure on ekpyrotic/cyclic scenarios. The amplitude of the four-point function in the local model $\tau_{NL} < 2800(95\% CL)$. Taken together, these constraints represent the highest precision tests to date of physical mechanisms for the origin of cosmic structure.
Fig. 54. Marginalized joint 68\% and 95\% CL regions for $n_s$ and $r_{0.002}$ from Planck alone and in combination with its cross-correlation with BICEP2/Keck Array and/or BAO data compared with the theoretical predictions of selected inflationary models.
SEARCHING FOR B MODES
E and B Mode Polarization

\[ Y^{(E)}_{\ell m,ab} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{(\ell - 1)\ell(\ell + 1)(\ell + 2)} \left[ \nabla_a \nabla_b - \frac{1}{2} \delta_{ab} \nabla^2 \right]} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{\Omega}) \]

\[ Y^{(B)}_{\ell m,ab} = \sqrt{\frac{2}{(\ell - 1)\ell(\ell + 1)(\ell + 2)} \frac{1}{2} \left[ \epsilon_{ac} \nabla_c \nabla_b + \nabla_a \epsilon_{bc} \nabla_c \right]} Y_{\ell m}(\hat{\Omega}) \]
Projection of « scalars, » « vectors » and « tensors » onto the celestial sphere

Under projection onto the celestial sphere:

\[(\text{scalar})_3 \rightarrow (\text{scalar})_2,\]

\[(\text{vector})_3 \rightarrow (\text{scalar})_2 + (\text{vector})_2,\]

\[(\text{tensor})_3 \rightarrow (\text{scalar})_2 + (\text{vector})_2.\]

There is no \((\text{tensor})_2\) component. The E mode polarization is scalar; the B mode is vector.

It follows that at linear order the scalar modes cannot generate any B mode polarization.

Note crucial role of linearity assumption.
Inflationary Prediction for Scalar & Tensor Anisotropies

\[ \ell (\ell + 1) C_\ell / 2\pi \mu K^2 \]

- **TT, scalar**
- **TE, scalar**
- **EE, scalar**
- **BB, scalar lensed**
- **BB, (T/S) = 10^{-1}**
- **BB, (T/S) = 10^{-2}**
- **BB, (T/S) = 10^{-3}**
The Reionization Bump

It turns out that

\[ P \propto (1 - \tau) d_{\text{lastscatter}}^2 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \]

is small compared to

\[ P \propto \tau d_{\text{reion}}^2 \frac{\partial^2 T}{\partial x^2} \]

even when \( \tau \) is small.
Astrophysics

BICEP flexes its muscles

A telescope at the South Pole has made the biggest cosmological discovery so far this century

Mar 22nd 2014 | From the print edition

ONE useful feature of a scientific theory is that it makes testable predictions. Such predictions, though, do not have to be testable straight away. Physics is replete with prophecies that could be confirmed or denied only decades later, once the technology to examine them had caught up. The Higgs boson, for example, was 50 years in the confirming.
BICEP2 summary plot:

"Smoking gun" of gravitational waves from inflation?
Why they said that dust cannot explain observed signal?
Was this celebration premature?
Detecting tensor modes with the CMB

$r=0.24$

Taken from: Challinor, astro-ph/1210.6008
BICEP2 claim on Planck-like plot

![Planck+WP+highL](#)

![Planck+WP+highL+BICEP2](#)
From Planck Collaboration: Dust polarization at high latitudes
(astro-ph/1409.3738)
$r < 0.12$ at 95% now from B modes
FIG. 6. Likelihood results from a basic lensed-ΛCDM+r+dust model, fitting $BB$ auto- and cross-spectra taken between maps at 150 GHz, 217, and 353 GHz. The 217 and 353 GHz maps come from Planck. The primary results (heavy black) use the 150 GHz combined maps from BICEP2/Keck. Alternate curves (light blue and red) show how the results vary when the BICEP2 and Keck Array only maps are used. In all cases a Gaussian prior is placed on the dust frequency spectrum parameter $\beta_d = 1.59 \pm 0.11$. In the right panel the two dimensional contours enclose 68% and 95% of the total likelihood.
COre: Cosmic Origins Explorer

A space mission for measuring microwave band polarization on the full sky
COrE Focal Plane
(6384 detectors)
Lite (light) Satellite for the studies of B-mode polarization and Inflation from cosmic background Radiation Detection

This talk is dedicated to Bruce Winstein.
## LiteBird Detectors/Resolution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Band</th>
<th>Beam size [degs]</th>
<th>Pixel size [cm]</th>
<th>Edge Taper [dB]</th>
<th>Aperture efficiency</th>
<th>The # of bolometers</th>
<th>uK arcmin for 2K mirror/baffle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>6.35</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>80</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>7.8</td>
<td>0.84</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>&lt;-10</td>
<td>0.94</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>6.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>624</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-4.5</td>
<td>0.65</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>-10</td>
<td>0.91</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>220</td>
<td>0.47</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>&lt;-10</td>
<td>0.99</td>
<td>434</td>
<td>3.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1302</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1926</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Abstract
Observations of the cosmic microwave background (CMB), especially of its frequency spectrum and its anisotropies, both in temperature and in polarization, have played a key role in the development of modern cosmology and our understanding of the very early universe. We review the underlying physics of the CMB and how the primordial temperature and polarization anisotropies were imprinted. Possibilities for distinguishing competing cosmological models are emphasized. The current status of CMB experiments and experimental techniques with an emphasis toward future observations, particularly in polarization, is reviewed. The physics of foreground emissions, especially of polarized dust, is discussed in detail, since this area is likely to become crucial for measurements of the $B$ modes of the CMB polarization at ever greater sensitivity.