





Fun Timely Intellectual Adorable!



Fun Timely Intellectual Adorable!



Subscribe “NuSTEC News”

E-mail to listserv@fnal.gov, Leave the subject line blank, Type "subscribe nustec-news firstname lastname"

(or just send e-mail to me, katori@FNAL.GOV)

like “@nuxsec” on Facebook page, use hashtag #nuxsec

Physics of Neutrino Interactions around 1-10 GeV

Teppei Katori

Queen Mary University of London

NDM 2018, Institute for Basic Science HQ, Daegu, Korea, Jun. 29, 2018

outline

1. Neutrino Interaction Physics
2. Charged-Current Quasi-Elastic (CCQE) interaction
3. Shallow inelastic scattering (SIS)
4. Conclusions

Subscribe “NuSTEC News”

E-mail to listserv@fnal.gov, Leave the subject line blank, Type "subscribe nustec-news firstname lastname"

(or just send e-mail to me, katori@FNAL.GOV)

like “@nuxsec” on Facebook page, use hashtag #nuxsec

Physics of Neutrino interactions around 1-10 GeV

Further reading

NDM 2018, Ir . 29, 2018

outline

1. Neutrino Interaction Physics
2. Charged-Current Quasi-Elastic (CCQE) interaction
3. Shallow inelastic scattering (SIS)
4. Conclusions

Topical Review

Neutrino–nucleus cross sections for oscillation experiments

Teppei Katori^{1,4,5} and Marco Martini^{2,3,4,5}

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

² ESNT, CEA, IRFU, Service de Physique Nucléaire, Université de Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp



Review

NuSTEC¹ White Paper: Status and challenges of neutrino–nucleus scattering

L. Alvarez-Ruso^a, M. Sajjad Athar^b, M.B. Barbaro^c, D. Cherdack^d, M.E. Christy^e, P. Coloma^f, T.W. Donnelly^g, S. Dytman^h, A. de Gouvêaⁱ, R.J. Hill^{j,f}, P. Huber^k, N. Jachowicz^l, T. Katori^m, A.S. Kronfeld^f, K. Mahnⁿ, M. Martini^o, J.G. Morfin^{f,*}, J. Nieves^a, G.N. Perdue^f, R. Petti^p, D.G. Richards^q, F. Sánchez^r, T. Sato^{s,t}, J.T. Sobczyk^u, G.P. Zeller^f



1. Neutrino Interaction Physics

2. Charged-Current Quasi-Elastic (CCQE) interaction

3. Shallow inelastic scattering (SIS)

4. Conclusions

Topical Review

Neutrino–nucleus cross sections for oscillation experiments

Teppei Katori^{1,4,5} and Marco Martini^{2,3,4,5}

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

² ESNT, CEA, IRFU, Service de Physique Nucléaire, Université de Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp



Review

NuSTEC¹ White Paper: Status and challenges of neutrino–nucleus scattering


L. Alvarez-Ruso^a, M. Sajjad Athar^b, M.B. Barbaro^c, D. Cherdack^d, M.E. Christy^e, P. Coloma^f, T.W. Donnelly^g, S. Dytman^h, A. de Gouvêaⁱ, R.J. Hill^{j,f}, P. Huber^k, N. Jachowicz^l, T. Katori^m, A.S. Kronfeld^f, K. Mahnⁿ, M. Martini^o, J.G. Morfin^{f,*}, J. Nieves^a, G.N. Perdue^f, R. Petti^p, D.G. Richards^q, F. Sánchez^r, T. Sato^{s,t}, J.T. Sobczyk^u, G.P. Zeller^f



1. Next generation neutrino oscillation experiments

Neutrino oscillation experiments mostly around 1-10 GeV!

- Past to Present: K2K, MiniBooNE, MINOS, T2K, DeepCore, Reactors
- Present to Future: T2K, NOvA, PINGU, ORCA, Hyper-Kamiokande, DUNE




$$P(L/E) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(1.27 \Delta m^2 (eV^2) \frac{L(km)}{E(GeV)} \right)$$

1. Next generation neutrino oscillation experiments

Neutrino oscillation experiments mostly around 1-10 GeV!

- Past to Present: K2K, MiniBooNE, MINOS, T2K, DeepCore, Reactors

- Present to Future: T2K, NOvA, PINGU, ORCA, Hyper-Kamiokande, DUNE...




$$P(L/E) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(1.27 \Delta m^2 (eV^2) \frac{L(km)}{E(GeV)} \right)$$

1. Next generation neutrino oscillation experiments

Neutrino oscillation experiments mostly around 1-10 GeV!

- Past to Present: K2K, MiniBooNE, MINOS, T2K, DeepCore, Reactors
- Present to Future: T2K, NOvA, PINGU, ORCA, Hyper-Kamiokande, DUNE...



$$P(L/E) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(1.27 \Delta m^2 (eV^2) \frac{L(km)}{E(GeV)} \right)$$

1. Neutrino cross-section formula

Cross-section

- product of Leptonic and Hadronic tensor


$$d\sigma \sim L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$$

Leptonic tensor

→ the Standard Model (easy)

Hadronic tensor

→ nuclear physics (hard)



1. Neutrino cross-section formula

Cross-section

- product of Leptonic and Hadronic tensor

$$d\sigma \sim L^{\mu\nu} W_{\mu\nu}$$


Leptonic tensor

→ the Standard Model (easy)

Hadronic tensor

→ nuclear physics (hard)

All complication of neutrino cross-section is how to model the hadronic tensor part



1. Neutrino Interaction Physics

2. Charged-Current Quasi-Elastic (CCQE) interaction

3. Shallow inelastic scattering (SIS)

4. Conclusions

Topical Review

Neutrino–nucleus cross sections for oscillation experiments

Teppei Katori^{1,4,5} and Marco Martini^{2,3,4,5}

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

² ESNT, CEA, IRFU, Service de Physique Nucléaire, Université de Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium



ELSEVIER

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp



Review

NuSTEC¹ White Paper: Status and challenges of neutrino–nucleus scattering


L. Alvarez-Ruso^a, M. Sajjad Athar^b, M.B. Barbaro^c, D. Cherdack^d, M.E. Christy^e, P. Coloma^f, T.W. Donnelly^g, S. Dytman^h, A. de Gouvêaⁱ, R.J. Hill^{j,f}, P. Huber^k, N. Jachowicz^l, T. Katori^m, A.S. Kronfeld^f, K. Mahnⁿ, M. Martini^o, J.G. Morfin^{f,*}, J. Nieves^a, G.N. Perdue^f, R. Petti^p, D.G. Richards^q, F. Sánchez^r, T. Sato^{s,t}, J.T. Sobczyk^u, G.P. Zeller^f



2. Charged Current Quasi-Elastic scattering (CCQE)


The simplest and the most abundant interaction around ~ 1 GeV.

$$\nu_\mu + n \rightarrow p + \mu^- \quad (\nu_\mu + X \rightarrow X' + \mu^-)$$



Neutrino energy is reconstructed from the observed lepton kinematics
“QE assumption”

1. assuming neutron at rest
2. assuming interaction is CCQE




CCQE is the single most important channel of neutrino oscillation physics
T2K, NOvA, microBoonE, Hyper-Kamiokande, DUNE (2nd maximum)...etc

2. Charged Current Quasi-Elastic scattering (CCQE)

CCQE interaction on nuclear targets are precisely measured by electron scattering
 - Lepton universality $\rightarrow$ precise prediction for neutrino CCQE cross-section

Simulation disagree with many modern accelerator based neutrino experiment data, **neither shape (low Q^2 and high Q^2) nor normalization**. By tuning axial mass (M_A) 1.2-1.3 GeV, simulations successfully reproduce data both shape and normalization.

Problem: we know $M_A=1$ GeV from electron scattering experiments (**CCQE puzzle**).



2. Flux-integrated differential cross-section

We want to study the cross-section model, but we don't want to implement every models in the world in our simulation...

We want theorists to use our data, but flux-unfolding (model-dependent process) lose details of measurements...

2. Flux-integrated differential cross-section

We want to study the cross-section model, but we don't want to implement every models in the world in our simulation...

We want theorists to use our data, but flux-unfolding (model-dependent process) lose details of measurements...

Now, all modern experiments publish **flux-integrated differential cross-section**

- Detector efficiency corrected event rate
- Theorists can reproduce the data with neutrino flux tables from experimentalists
- Minimum model dependent, useful for nuclear theorists






These data play major roles to study/improve neutrino interaction models by theorists

2. Flux-integrated differential cross-section


Various type of flux-integrated differential cross-section data are available from all modern neutrino experiments.

→ Now PDG has a summary of neutrino cross-section data! (since 2012)

T2K



MiniBooNE



2. Flux-integrated differential cross-section

Various type of flux-integrated differential cross-section data are available from all modern neutrino experiments.

→ Now PDG has a summary of neutrino cross-section data! (since 2012)

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dT_l d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{\int \Phi(E_\nu) dE_\nu} \int dE_\nu \left[\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\omega d\cos\theta} \right]_{\omega=E_\nu-E_l} \Phi(E_\nu)$$

Theorists



Experimentalists

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dT_l \cos\theta} = \frac{\sum_j U_{ij}(d_j - b_j)}{\Phi \cdot T \cdot \epsilon_i \cdot (\Delta T_l, \Delta \cos\theta)_i}$$

flux-integrated differential cross-section data allow theorists and experimentalists talk first time in neutrino interaction physics history

2. Flux-integrated differential cross-section

Various type of flux-integrated differential cross-section data are available from all modern neutrino experiments.

→ Now PDG has a summary of neutrino cross-section data! (since 2012)

Experimentalists



Theorists

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dT_l \cos\theta} = \frac{\sum_j U_{ij}(d_j - b_j)}{\Phi \cdot T \cdot \epsilon_i \cdot (\Delta T_l, \Delta \cos\theta)_i}$$

$$\frac{d^2\sigma}{dT_l d\cos\theta} = \frac{1}{\int \Phi(E_\nu) dE_\nu} \int dE_\nu \left[\frac{d^2\sigma}{d\omega d\cos\theta} \right]_{\omega=E_\nu-E_l} \Phi(E_\nu)$$

flux-integrated differential cross-section data allow theorists and experimentalists talk first time in neutrino interaction physics history

2. The solution of CCQE puzzle

Presence of 2-body current

- Martini et al showed 2p-2h effect can add up 10-30% more cross section!






What experimentalists call "CCQE" is not genuine CCQE!

Marco Martini (Saclay)

An explanation of this puzzle

Inclusion of the multinucleon emission channel (np-nh)



2. The solution of CCQE puzzle

Presence of 2-body current

- Martini et al showed 2p-2h effect can add up 10-30% more cross section!
- consistent result is obtained by Nieves et al




What experimentalists call "CCQE" is not genuine CCQE!

Marco Martini (Saclay)

An explanation of this puzzle


Inclusion of the multinucleon emission channel (np-nh)



The model is tuned with **electron scattering** data (no free parameter)



Juan Nieves (Valencia)








Valencia model vs. MiniBooNE CCQE double differential cross-section data

2. The solution of CCQE puzzle

Presence of 2-body current

- Martini et al showed 2p-2h effect can add up 10-30% more cross section!
- consistent result is obtained by Nieves et al
- phenomenological models can reproduce MiniBooNE, T2K, MINERvA data simultaneously

MiniBooNE neutrino beam is not the source of CCQE puzzle
 Models reasonably describe lepton kinematics in wide energy region



2. The solution of CCQE puzzle

- Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC)
- Predicts energy levels of all light nuclei $|\Psi_V\rangle$
- Consistent result with phenomenological models
- **neutron-proton short range correlation (SRC)**





Ab initio calculation
reproduce same feature

Alessandro Lovato
(Argonne)

1. v-interaction
2. CCQE
3. SIS
4. Conclusion

$$|\Psi_V\rangle = \mathcal{S} \prod_{i < j}^A \left[1 + \boxed{U_{ij}} + \sum_{k \neq i, j}^A \boxed{\tilde{U}_{ijk}^{TNI}} \right] |\Psi_J\rangle$$



2. The solution of CCQE puzzle

Ab-initio calculation

- Quantum Monte Carlo (QMC)
- Predicts energy levels of all light nuclei
- Consistent result with phenomenological models
- **neutron-proton short range correlation (SRC)**




Ab initio calculation reproduce same feature

Alessandro Lovato (Argonne)

- 1. v-interaction
- 2. CCQE
- 3. SIS
- 4. Conclusion



$$|\Psi_V\rangle = \mathcal{S} \prod_{i < j}^A \left[1 + \boxed{U_{ij}} + \sum_{k \neq i, j}^A \boxed{\tilde{U}_{ijk}^{TNI}} \right] |\Psi_J\rangle$$

2N potential (Av18) 3N potential (IL7)



Physics of SRC

- neutrino interaction
- $0\nu\beta\beta$
- astrophysics
- EMC effect
- etc



Nucleon correlation is a very hot topic in Particle Physics!

2. The solution of CCQE puzzle

Physics of nucleon correlation

- form factor (neutrino interaction)
- matrix element ($0\nu\beta\beta$)
- astrophysics
- EMC effect
- etc

Stefano
Gandolfi (LANL)



Los Alamos National Laboratory
Delivering science and technology to protect our nation and promote world science

SCIENCE & INNOVATION

COLLABORATION

CAREERS

Top young Los Alamos researchers honored with DOE Early Career Awards

This is the ninth year DOE has provided the awards, designed to bolster the nation's scientific workforce with support to exceptional researchers during their early careers.

2017 Herman Feshbach Prize in Theoretical Nuclear Physics Recipient

Joseph Carlson
Los Alamos National Laboratory

Citation:

"For pioneering the development of quantum Monte Carlo techniques to solve key problems in nuclear structure physics, cold atom physics, and dense matter theory of relevance to neutron stars."

Joe Carlson (LANL)



Nucleon correlation is a very hot topic in **Particle Physics!**

MIT News
ON CAMPUS AND AROUND THE WORLD

Browse

or

Search

Or Hen (MIT)

Or Hen receives the Guido Altarelli Award.

Photo courtesy of Or Hen.

Or Hen receives 2018 Guido Altarelli Award

Assistant professor of physics and Laboratory for Nuclear Science researcher recognized for major contributions to high energy and nuclear physics.

DOE 40th Anniversary

Office of Science • **1997**
RESEARCH MILESTONE


2. The solution of CCQE puzzle

Physics of nucleon correlation

- form factor (neutrino interaction)
- matrix element ($0\nu\beta\beta$)

King Yeomra (염라).

The god of Death and the final judge to your afterlife. In front of King Yeomra, no cheating is allowed (=ignore and dismiss nuclear physics in particle physics).




Top young Los Alamos researchers honored with Career Awards

This is the ninth year DOE has provided the awards, designed to bolster the nation's scientific workforce with support to exceptional researchers during their early career

2017 Herman Feshbach Prize in Theoretical Nuclear Physics Recipient

Joseph Carlson



Foundation of Nuclear and Particle Physics

- Cambridge University Press (2017), ISBN:0521765110
- Authors: Donnelly, Formaggio, Holstein, Milner, Surrow
- First textbook to fill the gap of nuclear&particle physics!



Nucleon correlation is a very hot topic in **Particle Physics!**

2. Summary of CCQE for oscillation physics

Community is converged: the origin of CCQE puzzle is multi-nucleon correlation

- Valencia MEC model is available in NEUT
- Implemented in GENIE, officially ready for GENIE v2.12

This moment...

- Valencia MEC model does not fit MiniBooNE, MINERvA, T2K, Super-K, data very well
- lepton-hadron correlations (STVs) from T2K and MINERVA reveal new information

large M_A error $\rightarrow$ large nucleon correlation error

We have good theorists who make models, and good experimentalists who measure data, but we are still lacking people between them.




2. Summary of CCQE for oscillation physics

Community is converged: the origin of CCQE puzzle is multi-nucleon correlation?

- Lattice QCD prefers large MA
- Some top down axial form factor model prefers harder spectrum (~large MA)

The community is still confused with neutrino-nucleon scattering theory. It looks we are bit far from building a correct neutrino-nucleus scattering model.



1. Neutrino Interaction Physics

2. Charged-Current Quasi-Elastic (CCQE) interaction

3. Shallow inelastic scattering (SIS)

4. Conclusions

Topical Review

Neutrino–nucleus cross sections for oscillation experiments

Teppei Katori^{1,4,5} and Marco Martini^{2,3,4,5}

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

² ESNT, CEA, IRFU, Service de Physique Nucléaire, Université de Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp



Review



NuSTEC¹ White Paper: Status and challenges of neutrino–nucleus scattering

L. Alvarez-Ruso^a, M. Sajjad Athar^b, M.B. Barbaro^c, D. Cherdack^d, M.E. Christy^e, P. Coloma^f, T.W. Donnelly^g, S. Dytman^h, A. de Gouvêaⁱ, R.J. Hill^{j,f}, P. Huber^k, N. Jachowicz^l, T. Katori^m, A.S. Kronfeld^f, K. Mahnⁿ, M. Martini^o, J.G. Morfin^{f,*}, J. Nieves^a, G.N. Perdue^f, R. Petti^p, D.G. Richards^q, F. Sánchez^r, T. Sato^{s,t}, J.T. Sobczyk^u, G.P. Zeller^f





3. Beyond QE peak

Axial 2-body current in QE region may be a tip of the iceberg...



3. Beyond QE peak


Axial 2-body current in QE region may be a tip of the iceberg..., or maybe a tip of gozilla!




3. Sallow Inelastic Scattering (SIS) physics

Basic ingredients

- 1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS



Rep. Prog. Phys. 80 (2017) 056301



3. Physics of Δ resonance

- 1. ν -interaction
- 2. CCQE
- 3. SIS
- 4. Conclusion


Giessen BUU transport model (GiBUU) describes final states of hadrons in nuclear media





Ulrich Mosel (Giessen)

Basic ingredients

- 1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS



MiniBooNE CC1 π^0 data



3. Physics of Δ resonance

- 1. ν-interaction
- 2. CCQE
- 3. SIS
- 4. Conclusion




Giessen BUU transport model (GiBUU) describes final states of hadrons in nuclear media



Ulrich Mosel (Giessen)

Basic ingredients

- 1. Δ(1232)-resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q², low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS



3. Physics of Δ resonance

- 1. ν -interaction
- 2. CCQE
- 3. SIS
- 4. Conclusion




Giessen BUU transport model (GiBUU) describes final states of hadrons in nuclear media



Ulrich Mosel (Giessen)

Basic ingredients

- 1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS



3. Physics of Δ resonance

- 1. ν-interaction
- 2. CCQE
- 3. SIS
- 4. Conclusion



Giessen BUU transport model (GiBUU) describes final states of hadrons in nuclear media





Ulrich Mosel (Giessen)

Basic ingredients

- 1. Δ(1232)-resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q², low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS



There is no great understanding even for the simplest baryonic resonance



3. Physics of higher resonances

Basic ingredients

- 1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS

DCC model

- Total amplitude is conserved
- Channels are coupled (pN, ppN, etc)
- 2 pion productions $\sim 10\%$ at 2 GeV
- not yet available in generators

Role of high W resonances in neutrino experiments is not understood (and probably modeled incorrectly), and I don't discuss today

- 1. ν -interaction
- 2. CCQE
- 3. SIS
- 4. Conclusion

DCC model vs. electro-pionproduction data






FIG. 8 (color online). Unpolarized differential cross sections, $d\sigma/d\Omega^*$ ($\mu\text{b/sr}$), for $\gamma n \rightarrow \pi^- p$. The data are from Refs. [55–78].



3. Physics of non-resonant background

Basic ingredients



- 1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS



Non-resonant component and resonances are incoherently added (=wrong, but easy to simulate).

Non-resonant background is identified to be DIS at higher W .

Non-resonant background in GENIE needs to be reduced more than 50%.



3. Quark-Hadron Duality

Nachtmann
variable

$$\xi = \frac{2x}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4x^2 M^2}{Q^2}}\right)}$$

1. v-interaction

2. CCQE

3. SIS

4. Conclusion


Basic ingredients

- 1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS

GRV98 LO PDF + Bodek-Yang correction

- GRV98 for low Q^2 DIS
- Bodek-Yang correction for QH-duality
- 20 years old, out-of-dated
- not sure how to implement systematic errors

Proton F2 function GRV98-BY correction vs. data



$$\xi \rightarrow \xi_{\omega} = \frac{2x \left(1 + \frac{M_f^2 + B}{Q^2}\right)}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4x^2 M^2}{Q^2}}\right) + \frac{2Ax}{Q^2}}$$

$$K_{valence}(Q^2) = [1 - G_D^2(Q^2)] \cdot \left(\frac{Q^2 + C_{v2}}{Q^2 + C_{v1}}\right)$$

$$K_{sea}(Q^2) = \frac{Q^2}{Q^2 + C_{s1}}$$





3. Neutrino nuclear-dependent DIS processes

Basic ingredients

1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
2. higher resonances
3. non-resonant background
4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
5. Nuclear dependent DIS

MINERvA DIS target ratio data (C, Fe, Pb)

- Neutrino nuclear-dependent DIS effects may be different from charged lepton sector
- Why we care? Because neutrino beam is like a “shower”, and it interacts with all materials surrounding the vertex detector. MC needs to simulate neutrino interactions (and particle propagations) for all inactive materials.



1. ν -interaction
2. CCQE
3. SIS
4. Conclusion

3. SIS summary

Basic ingredients


1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
2. higher resonances
3. non-resonant background
4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
5. Nuclear dependent DIS

Current models accepted in generators are not physical and don't make any sense



Each sub-field has been developed in a limited kinematics. But it is not easy to combine them together. The challenge we (=neutrino physics) have is a new kind.

Remember, this is the **signal models** for DUNE oscillation measurement!

Neutrino interaction generator comparison



Invariant mass



Neutrino Shallow and Deep-Inelastic scattering, GSSI, Oct 11-13

<http://nustec.fnal.gov/nuSDIS18/>

A dedicated workshop for physics related to DUNE, NOvA, HyperK, etc

- generator developments, impact on oscillation analyses
- higher resonance and non-resonance contributions
- low Q² low W DIS
- nuclear modifications and nuclear-dependent PDFs
- neutrino hadronization problem



The banner features a background image of the Gran Sasso Science Institute's historic stone towers. Text overlays include the dates '2018 October 11-13' and location 'Gran Sasso Science Institute, Italy' in a grey box. A logo with 'G S' and 'S I' in colored squares is positioned next to the location. The title 'νS&DIS workshop' is in large bold letters, followed by the subtitle 'Neutrino Shallow- and Deep-inelastic Scattering workshop'. The NuSTEC logo, which includes a stylized particle detector and the text 'NuSTEC Neutrino Scattering Theory-Experiment Collaboration', is in the bottom left. The URL 'nustec.fnal.gov/nuSDIS18' is in a large white font at the bottom right.

2018 October 11-13
Gran Sasso Science Institute, Italy

G S
S I

νS&DIS workshop
Neutrino Shallow- and Deep-inelastic Scattering workshop

NuSTEC
Neutrino Scattering
Theory-Experiment Collaboration

nustec.fnal.gov/nuSDIS18

1. Neutrino Interaction Physics

2. Charged-Current Quasi-Elastic (CCQE) interaction

3. Shallow inelastic scattering (SIS)

4. Conclusions

Topical Review

Neutrino–nucleus cross sections for oscillation experiments

Teppei Katori^{1,4,5} and Marco Martini^{2,3,4,5}

¹ School of Physics and Astronomy, Queen Mary University of London, London, United Kingdom

² ESNT, CEA, IRFU, Service de Physique Nucléaire, Université de Paris-Saclay, F-91191 Gif-sur-Yvette, France

³ Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, Proeftuinstraat 86, B-9000 Gent, Belgium



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Progress in Particle and Nuclear Physics

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/ppnp



Review

NuSTEC¹ White Paper: Status and challenges of neutrino–nucleus scattering


L. Alvarez-Ruso^a, M. Sajjad Athar^b, M.B. Barbaro^c, D. Cherdack^d, M.E. Christy^e, P. Coloma^f, T.W. Donnelly^g, S. Dytman^h, A. de Gouvêaⁱ, R.J. Hill^{j,f}, P. Huber^k, N. Jachowicz^l, T. Katori^m, A.S. Kronfeld^f, K. Mahnⁿ, M. Martini^o, J.G. Morfin^{f,*}, J. Nieves^a, G.N. Perdue^f, R. Petti^p, D.G. Richards^q, F. Sánchez^r, T. Sato^{s,t}, J.T. Sobczyk^u, G.P. Zeller^f



1. ν -interaction
2. CCQE
3. SIS
4. Conclusion

Physics of Neutrino Interactions

Tremendous amount of activities, new data, new theories...



Subscribe "NuSTEC News"

E-mail to listserv@fnal.gov, Leave the subject line blank, Type "subscribe nustec-news firstname lastname"

(or just send e-mail to me, katori@FNAL.GOV)

like "@nuxsec" on Facebook page, use hashtag #nuxsec

NuSTEC (Neutrino Scattering Theory-Experiment Collaboration)

<http://nustec.fnal.gov/>

NuSTEC promotes the collaboration and coordinates efforts between

- theorists, to study neutrino interaction problems
- experimentalists, to understand nu-A and e-A scattering problems
- generator builders, to implement, validate, tune, maintain models

Theorists

Luis Alvarez Ruso (co-spokesperson, IFIC, Spain)
Mohammad Sajjad Athar (Aligarh Muslim University, India)
Maria Barbaro (University of Turin, Italy)
Omar Benhar (Sapienza University of Rome, Rome, Italy)
Richard Hill (University of Kentucky and Fermilab, USA)
Patrick Huber (Center for neutrino physics, Virginia Tech, USA)
Natalie Jachowicz (Ghent University, Belgium)
Andreas Kronfeld (Fermilab, USA)
Marco Martini (IRFU Saclay, France)
Toru Sato (Osaka, University, Japan)
Rocco Schiavilla (Old Dominion Univ. and Jefferson Lab, USA)
Jan Sobczyk (nuWro representative, University of Wroclaw, Poland)

Experimentalists

Sara Bolognesi (CEA-IRFU, France)
Steve Brice (Fermilab, USA)
Raquel Castillo Fernández (Fermilab, USA)
Dan Cherdack (University of Texas Houston, USA)
Steve Dytman (University of Pittsburgh, USA)
Andy Furmanski (University of Manchester, UK)
Yoshinari Hayato (NEUT representative, ICRR, Japan)
Teppei Katori (Queen Mary University of London, UK)
Kendall Mahn (Michigan State University, USA)
Camillo Mariani (Center for neutrino physics, VirginiaTech, USA)
Jorge G. Morfin (co-spokesperson, Fermilab, USA)
Ornella Palamara (Fermilab, USA)
Jon Paley (Fermilab, USA)
Roberto Petti (University of South Carolina, USA)
Gabe Perdue (GENIE representative, Fermilab, USA)
Federico Sanchez (IFAE, University of Barcelona, Spain)
Sam Zeller (Fermilab, USA)

Subscribe "NuSTEC News"

E-mail to listserv@fnal.gov, Leave the subject line blank, Type "subscribe nustec-news firstname lastname"




(or just send e-mail to me, katori@FNAL.GOV)

like "@nuxsec" on Facebook page, use hashtag #nuxsec

Argon nucleus spectral function measurement

NuSTEC initiatives (Omar Benhar, Camillo Mariani) to put nuclear and particle physics, theorists and experimentalist together.

Using JLab beam, spectral function of argon and titanium (mirror of argon neutron distribution) has been measured first time. This is a critical input to use spectral function for DUNE.



Subscribe "NuSTEC News"

E-mail to listserv@fnal.gov, Leave the subject line blank, Type "subscribe nustec-news firstname lastname"

(or just send e-mail to me, katori@FNAL.GOV)

like "@nuxsec" on Facebook page, use hashtag #nuxsec


NuSTEC school



NuSTEC school, Fermilab, USA (Nov. 7-15, 2017)

- NuSTEC school is dedicated for students/postdocs to learn physics of neutrino interactions, both for theorists, and experimentalists

- | | |
|--|--|
| 1. The Practical Beauty of Neutrino-Nucleus Interactions (1 hour) | - Dr. Gabe Perdue (Fermilab) |
| 2. Introduction to electroweak interactions on the nucleon (3 hours) | - Prof. Richard Hill (University of Kentucky and Fermilab) |
| 3. Introduction to ν -nucleus scattering (3 hours) | - Prof. Wally Van Orden (Old Dominion University&JLab, VA) |
| 4. Strong and electroweak interactions in nuclei (3 hours) | - Dr. Saori Pastore (Los Alamos National Lab., NM) |
| 5. Approximate methods for nuclei (I) (2 hours) | - Dr. Artur Ankowski (Virginia Tech, VA) |
| 6. Approximate methods for nuclei (II) (2 hours) | - Prof. Natalie Jachowicz (Ghent University, Belgium) |
| 7. Ab initio methods for nuclei (2 hours) | - Dr. Alessandro Lovato (Argonne National Lab, IL) |
| 8. Pion production and other inelastic channels (3 hours) | - Prof. Toru Sato (Osaka University, Japan) |
| 9. Exclusive channels and final state interactions (3 hours) | - Dr. Kai Gallmeister (Goethe University Frankfurt, Germany) |
| 10. Inclusive e^- and ν -scattering in the SIS and DIS regimes (3 hrs) | - Prof. Jeff Owens (Florida State University, FL) |
| 11. Systematics in neutrino oscillation experiments (3 hours) | - Dr. Sara Bolognesi (CEA Saclay, France) |
| 12. Generators 1: Monte Carlo methods and event generators (3 rs) | - Dr. Tomasz Golan (Univ. Wroclaw, Poland) |
| 12. Generators 2: Nuisance (2 hours) | - Dr. Patrick Stowell (Univ. Sheffield, UK) |



Foundation of Nuclear and Particle Physics

- Cambridge University Press (2017), ISBN:0521765110
- Authors: Donnelly, Formaggio, Holstein, Milner, Surrow
- First textbook to fill the gap of nuclear&particle physics!

Subscribe "NuSTEC News"

E-mail to listserv@fnal.gov, Leave the subject line blank, Type "subscribe nustec-news firstname lastname"

(or just send e-mail to me, katori@FNAL.GOV)

like "@nuxsec" on Facebook page, use hashtag #nuxsec

NuInt18, Gran Sasso Science Institute, Italy (Oct. 15-19, 2018)

<https://indico.cern.ch/event/703880/>

- The main conference in this community
- Topics include;
 - latest results from T2K, MINERvA, NOvA, **MicroBooNE (next talk!)**
 - latest calculations from nuclear models to describe neutrino data
 - latest study to constrain neutrino interaction systematics for future oscillation experiments



NuInt 18

12th International Workshop on
Neutrino-Nucleus Interactions
in the Few-GeV Region

2018 October 15-19

Gran Sasso Science Institute, Italy



<https://indico.cern.ch/event/703880/>

Thank you for your attention!

Subscribe "NuSTEC News"

E-mail to listserv@fnal.gov, Leave the subject line blank, Type "subscribe nustec-news firstname lastname"

(or just send e-mail to me, katori@FNAL.GOV)

like "@nuxsec" on Facebook page, use hashtag #nuxsec

Backup

1. Next goal of high energy physics

Establish Neutrino Standard Model (ν SM)

- SM + 3 active massive neutrinos

Unknown parameters of ν SM

1. Dirac CP phase
 2. θ_{23} ($\theta_{23}=40^\circ$ and 50° are same for $\sin 2\theta_{23}$, but not for $\sin \theta_{23}$)
 3. normal mass ordering $m_1 < m_2 < m_3$ or inverted mass ordering $m_3 < m_1 < m_2$
 4. Dirac or Majorana
 5. Majorana phase
 6. absolute neutrino mass
- } not relevant to neutrino oscillation experiment(?)

We need higher precision experiments around 1-10 GeV.


$$P(L/E) = \sin^2 2\theta \sin^2 \left(1.27 \Delta m^2 (eV^2) \frac{L(km)}{E(GeV)} \right)$$

1. e.g.) T2K oscillation experiments

External constraint

MiniBooNE, MINERvA, SciBooNE
K2K, MINOS, Bubble chambers

External data give initial guess
of cross-section systematics






External data fit

1. e.g.) T2K oscillation experiments

External constraint




MiniBooNE, MINERvA, SciBooNE
K2K, MINOS, Bubble chambers



External data fit

Internal constraint

Near detector
oscillation non-sensitive channels




T2K ND280 data fit

Constraint from internal data find actual size of cross-section errors


1. e.g.) T2K oscillation experiments

External constraint
MiniBooNE, MINERvA, SciBooNE
K2K, MINOS, Bubble chambers



External data fit


Internal constraint
Near detector
oscillation non-sensitive channels



T2K ND280 data fit

Neutrino interaction model is a large systematics of neutrino oscillation experiment

Error source [%]	$\sin^2 2\theta_{13} = 0.1$
Beam flux and near detector (without ND280 constraint)	2.9 (25.9)
Uncorrelated ν interaction	7.5
Far detector and FSI + SI + PN	3.5
Total	8.8





oscillation result

2. Electron scattering vs. Neutrino scattering



Electron scattering

- well defined energy, well known flux
- reconstruct energy-momentum transfer
- kinematics is completely fixed



Neutrino scattering

- Wideband beam
- observables are **inclusive**





Teppei Katori

2. Electron scattering vs. Neutrino scattering

Electron scattering



- well defined energy, well known flux
- reconstruct energy-momentum transfer
- kinematics is completely fixed



QE for nuclear physicists (genuine QE)

Neutrino scattering

- Wideband beam
- observables are **inclusive**



description of neutrino data will require a new paradigm, suitable for application to processes in which the lepton kinematics is not fully determined

Omar Benhar (Rome I)





2. Dark age of neutrino interaction physics

- (1) Measure interaction rate
- (2) Divide by known cross section to obtain flux
- (3) use this flux, measure cross-section from measured rate

What you get? OF COURSE the cross section you assume!

Phys. Rev. D [redacted]

The distribution of events in neutrino energy for the $3C \nu d \rightarrow \mu^- pp_s$ events is shown in Fig. 4 together with the quasielastic cross section $\sigma(\nu n \rightarrow \mu^- p)$ calculated using the standard $V-A$ theory with $M_A = 1.05 \pm 0.05$ GeV and $M_V = 0.84$ GeV. The absolute cross sections for the CC interactions have been measured using the quasielastic events and its known cross section.⁴




2. How to emit 2 nucleons from correlated pair?

Default model for GENIE, NEUT, NuWro...


For a given Energy-Momentum transfer...

1. Choose 2 nucleons from specified kinematics (e.g., Fermi gas)
2. n-n, n-p, p-p pairs are allowed, if interaction is allowed
3. Energy-momentum conservation



Once 2 nucleons from on-shell are chosen

- i. ω -q vector and nucleon cluster makes CM system (hadronic system)
- ii. Isotropic decay (random θ and ϕ) of hadronic system creates 2 nucleon emission
- iii. Boost back to lab frame



Is there correct way to model 2 nucleon emissions from a correlated nucleon pair?



3. Neutrino oscillation experiment

Reconstruction of neutrino energy with QE assumption



- We can reconstruct neutrino energy if we know it is CCQE interaction
→ There is bias because of all “CCQE-like” interactions.

(interaction with 2-nucleons, pion production with pion nuclear absorption)

$$\nu_\mu + n \rightarrow p + \mu^- \quad (\nu_\mu + X \rightarrow X' + \mu^-) \quad E_\nu^{QE} = \frac{ME_\mu - 0.5m_\mu^2}{M - E_\mu + p_\mu \cos\theta_\mu}$$



estimated reconstruction due to 2-body current







3. MINERvA FSI and cross section model tuning (2016)

MINERvA $\text{CC1}\pi^+$, $\bar{\nu}\text{CC1}\pi^0$, $\nu\text{CC1}\pi^0$ data simultaneous fit

- this moment, there is no clear way to tune MC from data...

$\nu_\mu\text{CC1}\pi^+$ data has
better shape
agreement with GENIE



$\bar{\nu}\text{CC1}\pi^0$ data has better
normalization
agreement with GENIE

3. GENIE SIS model

GENIE is the most widely used
 neutrino interaction generator

Cross section

$W^2 < 2.9 \text{ GeV}^2$: RES

$W^2 > 2.9 \text{ GeV}^2$: DIS

Hadronization


$W^2 < 5.3 \text{ GeV}^2$: KNO scaling based model

$2.3 \text{ GeV}^2 < W^2 < 9.0 \text{ GeV}^2$: transition

$9.0 \text{ GeV}^2 < W^2$: PYTHIA6

There are 2 kind of “transitions” in SIS region

- cross-section
- hadronization



3. NEUT SIS model

NEUT is the generator used by all Japanese neutrino programs (T2K, SuperK, etc)

Cross section

$W^2 < 4 \text{ GeV}^2$: RES

$W^2 > 4 \text{ GeV}^2$: DIS

Hadronization

$W^2 < 4 \text{ GeV}^2$: KNO scaling based model

$4 \text{ GeV}^2 < W^2$: PYTHIA5


There are 2 kind of “transitions” in SIS region

- cross-section
- hadronization

plot made by
Christophe
Bronner (IPMU)



W^2 distribution for H_2O target with atmospheric- ν flux (NEUT)



3. NuWro SIS model

NuWro is often used for some studies because of user-friendly structure

Cross section

$W^2 < 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$: RES

$W^2 > 2.5 \text{ GeV}^2$: DIS


Hadronization

- PYTHIA fragmentation
- KNO scaling


There are 2 kind of “transitions” in SIS region

- cross-section
- hadronization

File made by
Luke Pickering
(MSU)



W^2 distribution for H_2O target with atmospheric- ν flux (NuWro)



3. Nuclear dependent DIS process

- 1. $\Delta(1232)$ -resonance
- 2. higher resonances
- 3. non-resonant background
- 4. low Q^2 , low W DIS
- 5. Nuclear dependent DIS

Nuclear PDF

- Shadowing, EMC effect, Fermi motion
- Theoretical origin is under debate
- Various models describe charged lepton data
- Neutrino data look very different

