Searching for general relativistic signatures on large scales

Jinn-Ouk Gong

APCTP, Pohang 790-784, Korea

CTPU IBS
20th August, 2015

Based on collaborations with S. G. Biern, J.-c. Hwang, D. Jeong and H. Noh

Outline

Why GR in LSS?

Planned galaxy surveys: DESI, HETDEX, LSST, Euclid, WFIRST...



Larger and larger volumes, eventually accessing the scales comparable to the horizon: beyond Newtonian gravity, fully general relativistic approach (or any modification) is necessary

Why non-linearity and gauge in LSS?

- Non-linearity is prominent in large scale structure thus accurate modeling of of non-linearity is very important
- GR is a gauge theory, thus observational quantities only make sense after choosing the coordinate systems

On large scales where non-linearity can be probed by observations with improved accuracy, density contrast $\delta \equiv (\rho - \bar{\rho})/\bar{\rho}$ deviates the Newtonian prediction

Why dark energy in non-linear regime?

- DE was negligible at very early times
- DE becomes significant at later stage when non-linearities in cosmic structure are developed

Naturally DE affects the evolution of gravitational instability, so that its effects emerge more prominently at non-linear level

Thus of our interest are:

1. *relevance of GR*
2. *gauge issue in GR*
3. *effects of DE in non-linear regime of LSS*

Newtonian theory

3 basic equations for density perturbation $\delta \equiv \delta\rho/\bar{\rho}$, peculiar velocity $\boldsymbol{u}$ and gravitational potential Φ with a *pressureless* fluid

$$\dot{\delta} + \frac{1}{a}\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{u} = -\frac{1}{a}\nabla\cdot(\delta\boldsymbol{u}) \qquad \text{continuity eq}$$

$$\dot{\boldsymbol{u}} + H\boldsymbol{u} + \frac{1}{a}\nabla\Phi = -\frac{1}{a}(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{u} \qquad \text{Euler eq}$$

$$\frac{\Delta}{a^2}\Phi = 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta \qquad \text{Poisson eq}$$

Newtonian system is closed at 2nd order

$$\ddot{\delta} + 2H\dot{\delta} - 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta = -\frac{1}{a^2}\frac{d}{dt}\left[a\nabla\cdot(\delta\boldsymbol{u})\right] + \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla\cdot(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla\boldsymbol{u})$$

$\longrightarrow$ at linear order, $\delta_+ \propto a$ (growing) and $\delta_- \propto a^{-3/2}$ (decaying)

(Bernardeau et al. 2002)

Basic non-linear equations

Based on the ADM metric

$$ds^2 = -N^2 (dx^0)^2 + \gamma_{ij}\left(N^i dx^0 + dx^i\right)\left(N^j dx^0 + dx^j\right)$$

the fully non-linear equations are (Bardeen 1980)

$$R - \overline{K}^i{}_j \overline{K}^j{}_i + \frac{2}{3}K^2 - 16\pi G E = 0$$

$$\overline{K}^j{}_{i;j} - \frac{2}{3}K_{,i} = 8\pi G J_i$$

$$\frac{K_{,0}}{N} - \frac{K_{,i}N^i}{N} + \frac{N^{;i}{}_{;i}}{N} - \overline{K}^i{}_j \overline{K}^j{}_i - \frac{1}{3}K^2 - 4\pi G(E+S) = 0$$

$$\frac{\overline{K}^i{}_{j,0}}{N} - \frac{\overline{K}^i{}_{j;k}N^k}{N} + \frac{\overline{K}_{jk}N^{i;k}}{N} - \frac{\overline{K}^i{}_k N^k{}_{;j}}{N} = K\overline{K}^i{}_j - \frac{1}{N}\left(N^{;i}{}_{;j} - \frac{\delta^i{}_j}{3}N^{;k}{}_{;k}\right) + \overline{R}^i{}_j - 8\pi G \overline{S}^i{}_j$$

$$\frac{E_{,0}}{N} - \frac{E_{,i}N^i}{N} - K\left(E + \frac{S}{3}\right) - \overline{K}^i{}_j \overline{S}^j{}_i + \frac{\left(N^2 J^i\right)_{;i}}{N^2} = 0$$

$$\frac{J_{i,0}}{N} - \frac{J_{i;j}N^j}{N} - \frac{J_j N^j{}_{;i}}{N} - KJ_i + \frac{EN_{,i}}{N} + S^j{}_{i;j} + \frac{S^j{}_i N_{,j}}{N} = 0$$

Fluid quantities: $E \equiv n_\mu n_\nu T^{\mu\nu}$, $J_i \equiv -n_\mu T^\mu{}_i$, $S_{ij} \equiv T_{ij}$

Setup and perturbation variables

We consider scalar metric pert in Einstein-de Sitter universe

$$N = 1 + \alpha\,, \quad N_i = a^2 \beta_{,i}\,, \quad \gamma_{ij} = a^2 \left[(1+2\varphi)\delta_{ij} + \gamma_{,ij} \right]$$

The dynamical equations to be solved are:

Energy conservation eq $\rightarrow$ Continuity eq

Trace of the Einstein eq $\rightarrow$ Euler eq

We identify the perturbation variables as

$$\delta \equiv \frac{\rho - \bar{\rho}}{\bar{\rho}} \quad \text{with} \quad \rho \equiv -T^0{}_0$$

$$\theta \equiv \frac{\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{u}}{a} = -3H - K$$

Strategy for non-linear perturbations

With the linear solution the same as the standard one

$$\delta_1(\boldsymbol{k}, a) = D_1(a)\delta_1(\boldsymbol{k}, a_0)$$

we expand $\delta = \delta_1 + \delta_2 + \cdots$ using symmetric kernels

$$\delta(\boldsymbol{k}, a) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} D^n(a) \int \frac{d^3 d_1 \cdots d^3 q_n}{(2\pi)^{3(n-1)}} \delta^{(3)}\left(\boldsymbol{k} - \boldsymbol{q}_1 - \cdots \boldsymbol{q}_n\right) \times F_n(\boldsymbol{q}_1, \cdots \boldsymbol{q}_n)\delta_1(\boldsymbol{q}_1)\cdots\delta_1(\boldsymbol{q}_n)$$

Then correlation functions are

$$\left\langle \delta(\boldsymbol{k})\delta(\boldsymbol{k}')\right\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}+\boldsymbol{k}')P(k) \quad \text{with} \quad P = P_{11} + \underbrace{P_{22} + P_{13}}_{\text{1-loop}} + \cdots$$

$$\langle \delta(\boldsymbol{k}_1)\delta(\boldsymbol{k}_2)\delta(\boldsymbol{k}_3)\rangle = (2\pi)^3\delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}_{123})B(k_1, k_2, k_3)$$

$$\text{with} \quad B = B_{112} + \underbrace{B_{222} + B_{123} + B_{114}}_{\text{1-loop}} + \cdots$$

Comoving gauge

We set the gauge condition as

$$\gamma = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad T^0{}_i = 0$$

Kernels are found to be (Jeong, JG, Noh & Hwang 2011, Biern, JG & Jeong 2014)

$$F_2 = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{\boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2}{2 q_1 q_2}\left(\frac{q_1}{q_2} + \frac{q_2}{q_1}\right) + \frac{2}{7}\left(\frac{\boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2}{q_1 q_2}\right)^2$$

$$F_3 = F_{3\mathrm{N}} + F_{3\mathrm{GR}} \quad \text{where} \quad F_{3\mathrm{GR}} \propto k_H^2 \quad \text{with} \quad k_H \equiv aH$$

$$F_4 = F_{4\mathrm{N}} + (\cdots) k_H^2 + (\cdots) k_H^4$$

- Those w/o φ are identical to the Newtonian kernels
- Newtonian kernels are the same as those found in the standard perturbation theory based on the Newtonian gravity
- GR contributions appear from 3rd order, prop to $k_H \equiv aH$

Power spectrum with leading corrections in CG



(Jeong, JG, Noh & Hwang 2011)

Bispectrum with leading corrections in CG



(Biern, JG & Jeong 2014)

Leading bispectrum in various gauges



(Biern, JG & Jeong 2014)

Synchronous gauge

We set the gauge condition as

$$g_{00} = -1 \quad \text{and} \quad g_{0i} = 0$$

Kernels are found to be (Hwang, Noh, Jeong, JG & Biern 2014)

$$F_2 = \frac{5}{7} + \frac{2}{7}\frac{(\boldsymbol{q}_1 \cdot \boldsymbol{q}_2)^2}{q_1^2 q_2^2}$$

$$F_3 = F_{3\mathrm{N}} + F_{3\mathrm{GR},\varphi} + F_{3\mathrm{GR},\mathrm{no}\,\varphi}$$

- Newtonian kernels are *different* from standard ones
- Some GR contributions are not from φ but from non-linear coupling w/o k_H (thus time independent)

Power spectrum with leading corrections in SG



(Hwang, Noh, Jeong, JG & Biern 2014)

Newtonian interpretation of CG and SG

The problem lies in the Newtonian contributions

$$\dot{\delta} + \frac{1}{a}(1+\delta)\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{u} = 0\,, \quad \dot{\theta} + 2H\theta + 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta + \frac{1}{a^2}u^{i,j}u_{j,i} = (\text{NL terms})$$



(Hwang, Noh, Jeong, JG & Biern 2014)

Newtonian interpretation of CG and SG

The problem lies in the Newtonian contributions

$$\dot{\delta} + \frac{1}{a}(1+\delta)\nabla\cdot\boldsymbol{u} = 0\,, \quad \dot{\theta} + 2H\theta + 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta + \frac{1}{a^2}u^{i,j}u_{j,i} = \text{(NL terms)}$$

$$\Downarrow \quad \frac{d}{dt} \to \frac{d}{dt} + \frac{1}{a}\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla \quad \text{transformation to convective derivative}$$

$$\dot{\delta} + \frac{1}{a}\nabla\cdot[(1+\delta)\boldsymbol{u}] = 0\,, \quad \dot{\theta} + 2H\theta + 4\pi G\bar{\rho}\delta + \frac{1}{a^2}\nabla\cdot[(\boldsymbol{u}\cdot\nabla)\boldsymbol{u}] = \text{(NL terms)}$$





(Hwang, Noh, Jeong, JG & Biern 2014)

Putting dark energy on the table

Previous strategy is not complete

- ΛCDM power spectrum in EdS background
- Matter domination all the way

But we know the universe has been dominated by DE for a long time

$$\rho = \rho_m \longrightarrow \rho = \rho_m + \rho_{de} \quad \text{with} \quad p_{de} = w\rho_{de}$$

For simplicity

1. No DE perturbation: $\rho_{dm} = \bar{\rho}_{de}$ (cf. Park, Hwang, Lee & Noh 2009)
2. Comoving gauge: $T^0{}_i = 0$

Dark energy changes the game

DE provides different BG from both EdS and ΛCDM:

$$\mathcal{H}^2 = \frac{8\pi G}{3} a^2 \left(\bar{\rho}_m + \bar{\rho}_{de}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{H}' = -\frac{1}{2}\mathcal{H}^2(1+3w)$$

DE permeates *all* order in perturbation: e.g. energy conservation

$$\delta' - \kappa(1-\lambda) = \text{(non-linear terms) where } \lambda \equiv (1+w)\left(1 - \frac{1}{\Omega_m}\right)$$

Thus away from EdS ($\Omega_m = 1$) and ΛCDM ($w = -1$) the effects of general, dynamical DE are *manifest*: we use the parametrization

(Chevallier & Polarski 2001, Linder 2003)

$$w(a) = w_0 + (1-a)\, w_a$$

Non-linear solutions with DE

Curvature perturbation is **not** conserved: from energy constraint

$$\varphi = -\frac{\mathcal{H}^2 f}{1-\lambda}\left[1+\frac{3}{2}(1-\lambda)\frac{\Omega_m}{f}\right]\Delta^{-1}\delta \neq \text{constant}$$

Thus δ receives a) curvature evolution effects from 3rd order and b) general, dynamical DE effects from BG and linear order:

$$\delta'' + \left(\mathcal{H} + \frac{\lambda'}{1-\lambda}\right)\delta' - \frac{3}{2}(1-\lambda)\mathcal{H}^2\Omega_m\delta = \underbrace{\mathcal{N}_N + \mathcal{N}_\varphi + \mathcal{N}_{\varphi'} + \mathcal{N}_\lambda}_{\text{=non-linear source terms}}$$

	Newtonian	EdS	ΛCDM	DE
$\mathcal{N}_N$	O	O	O	O
$\mathcal{N}_\varphi$	X	O	O	O
$\mathcal{N}_{\varphi'}$	X	X	X	O
$\mathcal{N}_\lambda$	X	X	X	O

Relativistic kernels

2nd and 3rd order solutions are (Biern & JG 2015)

$$\delta_2(\boldsymbol{k},a) = D_1^2 \sum_{i=a}^{b} c_{2i}(a) \int \frac{d^3q_1 d^3q_2}{(2\pi)^3} \delta^{(3)}(\boldsymbol{k}-\boldsymbol{q}_{12}) F_{2i}(\boldsymbol{q}_1,\boldsymbol{q}_2)\delta_1(\boldsymbol{q}_1)\delta_1(\boldsymbol{q}_2)$$

$$\delta_3(\boldsymbol{k},a) = D_1^3 \sum_{i=a}^{f} c_{3i}(a) \int \left[\cdots F_{3i} \cdots 3\ \delta_1' s\right] \qquad c_{ni} \equiv \frac{D_{ni}}{D_1^n}$$

$$+ D_1^3 \mathcal{H}^2 \sum_{i=a}^{b} c_{3i}^{\varphi}(a) \int \left[\cdots F_{3i}^{\varphi} \cdots 3\ \delta_1\text{'s}\right] \qquad c_{3i}^{\varphi} \equiv \frac{D_{3i}^{\varphi}}{D_1^3 \mathcal{H}^2}$$

In the EdS universe c's are fixed as certain numbers ($c_{2a} = 3/7...$) and (also in ΛCDM) c_{ni} terms become purely Newtonian [Kamionkowski & Buchalter 1999 (2nd) and Takahashi 2008 (3rd)] and only c_{3i}^{φ} terms remain relativistic

N. B. λ is completely entangled and cannot be separated like φ

One-loop corrected power spectrum: versus ΛCDM



- Overall almost constant deviation on large scales ($k \lesssim 0.1h/\mathrm{Mpc}$)
- Deviation becomes significant on $k \gtrsim 0.1h/\mathrm{Mpc}$, close to baryon acoustic oscillations
- $w_0 > -1$ / $w_a > 0$ ($w_0 < -1$ / $w_a < 0$) give smaller (larger) $P(k)$

One-loop corrected power spectrum: versus EdS

In Newtonian studies, usually EdS power spectrum is transferred to an arb model by replacing $a \to D_1(a)$:

$$P(k,a) = D_1^2(a)P_{11}(k) + D_1^4(a)[P_{22}(k) + P_{13}(k)]_{\rm EdS}$$



- For ΛCDM, only φ drives difference so almost identical to EdS
- For general DE, the difference notably increases from $k \approx 0.1h/\text{Mpc}$

To redshift space

Observations are made i.t.o. redshift (Kaiser 1987, Heavens, Matarrese & Verde 1998

$$\delta_s = \delta_r - \partial_\parallel U + \text{higher order terms}$$

$$\text{where} \quad \delta_r = b\delta\,,\; U \equiv \frac{\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}\cdot\boldsymbol{v}}{\mathcal{H}} \quad \text{and} \quad \partial_\parallel \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}\cdot\nabla$$

Then the observable galaxy power spectrum in the redshift space

$$P_s(k,\mu,a) = P_{s11}(k,\mu,a) + P_{s22}(k,\mu,a) + P_{s13}(k,\mu,a)$$

with $\mu \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{n}}\cdot\boldsymbol{k}/k$, thus no longer isotropic

- $\mu = 1$: line-of-sight direction, most dominant
- $\mu = 0$: perp to LoS

Thus the deviation from ΛCDM becomes larger for LoS spectrum

One-loop corrected LoS power spectrum



Deviation is enhanced as large as 10% at around BAO scales

$w_a = 0$ and varying w_0			$w_0 = -1$ and varying w_a			
k [h/Mpc]	$w_0 = -1.2$	$w_0 = -0.8$	k [h/Mpc]	$w_a = -1.0$	$w_a = -0.5$	$w_a = 0.5$
0.1	6.8%	-10.2%	0.1	9.5%	5.8%	-11.5%
0.2	11.6%	-15.0%	0.2	14.9%	8.8%	-15.3%
0.3	16.0%	-19.4%	0.3	20.1%	11.6%	-19.0%

Observable galaxy number density

We observe as if photons come to us along a straight, unperturbed geodesic...



Observable galaxy number density

We observe as if photons come to us along a straight, unperturbed geodesic... but in fact the path is distorted due to perturbations at the locations of the observer and the source, and in between

(Yoo et al. 2009, Bonvin & Durrer 2011, Bertacca, Maartens & Clarkson 2014, Yoo & Zaldarriaga 2014...)



Observable galaxy number density

We observe as if photons come to us along a straight, unperturbed geodesic... but in fact the path is distorted due to perturbations at the locations of the observer and the source, and in between

(Yoo et al. 2009, Bonvin & Durrer 2011, Bertacca, Maartens & Clarkson 2014, Yoo & Zaldarriaga 2014...)



See S. G. Biern's presentation for detail

Conclusions

- As galaxy surveys become deeper and deeper, fully GR description is relevant
- Gauge dependence at non-linear order:
  - In CG the standard perturbation theory is reproduced
  - Pure GR corrections are heavily suppressed in almost all cases
  - Naively using SG leads to pathologies
  - Transformation by hands cures the problem
- With general dark energy:
  - Dark energy background greatly affects GR contributions
  - Notable difference of a few percent near BAO scales
  - Detectable signatures of judging Λ or not
- Geodesic approach based on observable quantities should help