

Axion scales and couplings in non-minimal ALP models

Chang Sub Shin (IBS-CTPU)

based on 1909.11685 done with Kiwoon Choi (IBS), and Seokhoon Yun (KIAS)

> at IBS-ICTP workshop Nov 8 – 12, 2019

Outline

Motivation

beyond the minimal Axion-Like Particle (ALP) some confusion about couplings, form of the scalar potentials

General description of Stückelberg axion models

general kinetic terms discrete gauge symmetries manifest field basis

Examples

two Stückelberg axions with hierarchical decay constants multiple Stückelberg axions (clockwork-type charge assignment)

Motivation

Axion, ALP

Well motivated from theory side

string theory, inflation, dark matter candidates, quintessence, strong CP problem, hierarchy problem, etc.









from experiment side

CAPP, ADMX, HAYSTAC, ABRACADABRA, LC Circuit, CASPEr, etc.



taken from the slides of P. Graham

Minimal ALP

ALP is the emergent, compact scalar field at low energies

1) discrete gauge symmetry: $a \rightarrow a + 2\pi f \mathbb{N}$



$$S[a] = S[a + 2\pi f \mathbb{N}]$$

It is natural to consider the ALP as the angular/phase field:

 $\theta(x) = a(x)/f \equiv \theta(x) + 2\pi$

Minimal ALP

ALP is the emergent, compact scalar field at low energies

2) (approximate) global symmetry: $a \to a + 2\pi f c$, $(c \in \mathbb{R})$ so called $U(1)_{PQ}$

 $U(1)_{PQ}$ can be broken by various ways (for the QCD axion, from QCD/chiral anomaly)



Minimal ALP

ALP is the emergent, compact scalar field at low energies

2) (approximate) global symmetry: $a \rightarrow a + 2\pi f c$, ($c \in \mathbb{R}$) so called $U(1)_{PQ}$

 $U(1)_{PQ}$ can be broken by various ways (for the QCD axion, from QCD/chiral anomaly)



Beyond the minimal ALP (1)

Typically interactions of the ALP are governed by 1/f.

However, for non-minimal ALP models, there are interesting variations.

Ex1) clockwork axion [Choi Kim Yun 14, Choi Im 15, Kaplan Rattazzi 15]

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{1,\dots,N} \frac{1}{2} f^2(\partial_\mu \theta^i) (\partial^\mu \theta^i) - \sum_{1,\dots,N-1} V_i \left(q \ \theta^{i+1} - \theta^i \right) + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \theta_1 F \tilde{F} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \theta_N G \tilde{G}$$
$$= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_\mu a \right) (\partial^\mu a) + \frac{a}{f_a} \left(\frac{q^{N-1}}{32\pi^2} F \tilde{F} + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} G \tilde{G} \right) + \text{heavy modes}$$

The axion field range ($a \equiv a + 2\pi f_a$):

$$f_a = \left(\sum_{1,\dots,N} q^{2(i-1)}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} f \sim q^{N-1} f$$

Spectrum of effective decay constants:

$$\frac{g^2}{16\pi^2 f_a} \lesssim g_{aAA} \lesssim \frac{g^2 q^{N-1}}{16\pi^2 f_a}$$

$$\theta^{i} = q^{N-i} \left(\frac{a}{f_{a}}\right) + \text{heavy modes}$$
$$\theta^{i}(x)O(x) \rightarrow \frac{q^{N-i}}{f_{a}} a(x) O(x)$$
$$\theta_{1} \qquad \theta_{2} \qquad \theta_{3} \qquad \dots \qquad \theta_{N-1} \qquad \theta_{N}$$

Implications



Lasing/Polarization dependent deflection from spinning Blackhole, Photophilic axion

Axion star, Bosenova



Axion star, Bosenova

Implications

Inflation

Magnetogenesis, Baryogenesis,

$$\frac{1}{2}g^{\mu\nu}\partial_{\mu}a\partial_{\nu}a - \frac{\Lambda^4}{2f_a^2}a^2 + \frac{g_{a\gamma}}{32\pi^2 f_a}a F_{\mu\nu}\tilde{F}^{\mu\nu} \qquad g_{a\gamma} \sim q^N$$

Lasing/Polarization dependent deflection from spinning Blackhole, Photophilic axion



Axion star, Bosenova

Implications

Inflation



Lasing/Polarization dependent deflection from spinning Blackhole, Photophilic axion



Axion star, Bosenova

Beyond the minimal ALP (2)

Ex2) Stückelberg axions with the anomalous $U(1)_A$ gauge symmetry [Shui, Staessens, Ye 15]

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{I=L,R} \bar{\psi}_I i \bar{\sigma}^\mu (D_\mu - iq_I A_\mu) \psi_I + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{1}{2} f_i^2 (\partial_\mu \theta^i - k^i A_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \theta^1 G \tilde{G} + \cdots$$

Under

$$U(1)_A: A_{\mu} \to A_{\mu} + \partial_{\mu}\Lambda, \qquad \theta^{1,2} \to \theta^{1,2} + k^{1,2}\Lambda, \qquad \psi_{L/R} \to e^{-i q_{L/R}\Lambda} \psi_{L/R}$$

mixed anomalous fermion loop contribution is canceled by gauge transformation of θ_1

$$\delta_{\Lambda} \mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \left(k^1 - (q_L + q_R) \right) \Lambda(x) \ G_{\mu\nu} \tilde{G}^{\mu\nu} = 0 \qquad \text{gauge invariance: } q_L + q_R = k^1$$

One of combinations of θ^i s (ζ) is absorbed by A_μ and becomes heavy, while the gauge invariant combination (a) remains light.



Beyond the minimal ALP (2)

Ex2) Stückelberg axions with the anomalous $U(1)_A$ gauge symmetry [Shui, Staessens, Ye 15]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L} &= -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \sum_{I=L,R} \bar{\psi}_I i \bar{\sigma}^\mu (D_\mu - iq_I A_\mu) \psi_I + \sum_{i=1,2} \frac{1}{2} f_i^2 (\partial_\mu \theta^i - k^i A_\mu)^2 + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \theta^1 G \tilde{G} + \cdots \\ &= -\frac{1}{4e^2} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2} M_A^2 (\partial_\mu \zeta - A_\mu)^2 + \frac{k^1}{32\pi^2} \zeta G \tilde{G} + \sum_{I=L,R} \bar{\psi}_I i \bar{\sigma}^\mu (D_\mu - iq_I A_\mu) \psi_I \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu a)^2 - \frac{1}{32\pi^2 f_*} a G \tilde{G} + \cdots \end{aligned}$$

where

where
$$M_A^2 = (f_1k^1)^2 + (f_2k^2)^2, \qquad f_* = \frac{f_1}{f_2k^2}\sqrt{(f_1k^1)^2 + (f_2k^2)^2}$$

In the limit of $f_1 \gg f_2$, $M_A \sim f_1$, $f_* \sim \frac{f_1}{f_2}M_A \gg f_1$, the axion coupling to gauge bosons $\left(\frac{g^2}{32\pi^2 f_*}\right)$
is hierarchically suppressed. [Fonseca, von Harling, de Lima, Machado 1906.10193]
 $\int f_2 \theta^2$

$$\zeta \simeq \theta^1 + \frac{f_2^2 k^2}{(f_1 k^1)^2} \theta^2$$
$$a \simeq f_2 \left(k^1 \theta^2 - k^2 \theta^1\right)$$



Beyond the minimal ALP (2)

Ex2) Stückelberg axions with the anomalous $U(1)_A$ gauge symmetry

<u>Can it give the axion potential with a period</u> $2\pi f_* \simeq 2\pi f_2(f_1^2/f_2^2) \gg 2\pi f_1, 2\pi f_2$? \rightarrow relevant for scalar dynamics: axion as the inflaton $(f_* \gg M_P)$, dark matter etc.

 f_a (a period, basis independent) vs f_* (a coupling, basis dependent)



After our paper

1909.11685

Axion scales and couplings with Stückelberg mixing

Kiwoon Choi^{*a*1}, Chang Sub Shin^{*a*2}, Seokhoon Yun^{*b*3}

^a Center for Theoretical Physics of the Universe, Institute for Basic Science, Daejeon 34126, South Korea ^bKorea Institute for Advanced Study, Seoul 02455, South Korea

Similar approach

1910.11349 Axion Periodicity and Coupling Quantization in the Presence of Mixing

> Katherine Fraser and Matthew Reece kfraser, mreece (@g.harvard.edu)

Department of Physics, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, 02138

October 28, 2019

General description of Stückelberg axions

[Choi, CSS, Yun 1909.11685]

General form

N Stückelberg axions θ^i , $N - 1 U(1)_{\alpha}$ gauge symmetries yield one light axion at low energies. $i = 1, \dots, N$ $\alpha = 1, \dots, N - 1$

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e_{\alpha}^{2}}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F^{\alpha\,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}G_{ij}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{i} - k_{\alpha}^{i}A_{\mu}^{\alpha})(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{j} - k_{\alpha}^{j}A_{\mu}^{\alpha})$$
$$+ \frac{(r_{i}\theta^{i})}{32\pi^{2}}G\tilde{G} + \left(\lambda_{o}\ e^{in_{i}^{o}\theta^{i}}\prod_{I}\phi_{I}^{x_{I}^{o}}(x) + h.c.\right) + \kappa_{Ji}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{i} - k_{\alpha}^{i}A_{\mu}^{\alpha})J_{\phi}^{\mu} + \cdots$$

* Stückelberg axions are the angular fields:

$$\theta^{i} \equiv \theta^{i} + 2\pi \rightarrow k^{i}_{\alpha}, r_{i}, n^{0}_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}$$

* Gauge invariance:

$$r_i k^i_{\alpha} = \sum_{\psi_I} 2q_{I\alpha} \operatorname{Tr}[T(\psi_I)^2], \qquad n^o_i k^i_{\alpha} = \sum_I q_{I\alpha} x^o_I$$

* Allow general form of the metric including the kinetic mixing: G_{ij}

* The previous example: N = 2, $k_1^i = (1, 1)$, $r_i = (1, 0)$, $G_{ij} = \text{diag}(f_1^2, f_2^2)$.

Useful relations

Gauge invariant combination: $a \propto \tilde{k}_i \theta^i$ requires $\tilde{k}_i k_{\alpha}^i = 0$. The solution exists (with $\tilde{k}_i \in \mathbb{Z}$) assuming g. c. d. $(k_{\alpha}^i) = 1$ for all α

$$\tilde{k}_{i} = \det \begin{pmatrix} \delta_{i}^{1} & \delta_{i}^{2} & \cdots & \delta_{i}^{N} \\ k_{1}^{1} & k_{1}^{2} & \cdots & k_{1}^{N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ k_{N-1}^{1} & k_{N-1}^{2} & \cdots & k_{N-1}^{N} \end{pmatrix}$$

Since \tilde{k}_i is integer valued, there exists the integer valued vector ℓ^i such that $\tilde{k}_i \ell^i = 1$. Then we find for the N by N matrix [K], N-1

$$[K] = \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{k}_{\alpha}^{i} & \ell^{i} \\ \mathbf{k}_{\alpha}^{i} & \ell^{i} \end{pmatrix} \end{bmatrix} N$$

det[K] = 1, and it has the integer valued inverse matrix $[K^{-1}]$ as

$$[K^{-1}] = \begin{pmatrix} & \tilde{\ell}_i^{\alpha} & \\ & \tilde{k}_i & \end{pmatrix}$$

Therefore $k_{\alpha}^{i}, \ell^{i}, \tilde{k}_{i}, \tilde{\ell}_{i}^{\alpha} \in \mathbb{Z}$ and

$$\tilde{\ell}_{i}^{\alpha}k_{\beta}^{i} = \delta_{\beta}^{\alpha}, \qquad \tilde{\ell}_{i}^{\alpha}\ell^{i} = 0, \qquad \sum_{k=1,\cdots,N} [K^{-1}]_{i}^{k}[K]_{k}^{j} = \tilde{\ell}_{i}^{\alpha}k_{\alpha}^{j} + \tilde{k}_{i}\ell^{j} = \delta_{i}^{j}$$

Decomposing θ^i s into the longitudinal modes of the gauge bosons ($\zeta^{\alpha}: \zeta^{\alpha} \to \zeta^{\alpha} + \Lambda^{\alpha}$) and the gauge invariant axion (a):

$$\theta^{i} = k_{\alpha}^{i} \zeta^{\alpha} + \frac{(G^{-1})^{ij} \tilde{k}_{j}}{(G^{-1})^{ij} \tilde{k}_{i} \tilde{k}_{j}} \frac{a}{f_{a}} = k_{\alpha}^{i} \left(\zeta^{\alpha} + \Gamma^{\alpha}(G, k) \frac{a}{f_{a}} \right) + \ell^{i} \frac{a}{f_{a}}$$

leads to

$$\frac{1}{2}G_{ij}\left(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{i}-\boldsymbol{k}_{\alpha}^{i}A_{\mu}^{\alpha}\right)\left(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{j}-\boldsymbol{k}_{\alpha}^{j}A_{\mu}^{\alpha}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu}a\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\boldsymbol{M}_{\alpha\beta}^{2}\left(\partial_{\mu}\zeta^{\alpha}-A_{\mu}^{\alpha}\right)\left(\partial^{\mu}\zeta^{\beta}-A^{\beta\mu}\right)$$

where

$$M_{\alpha\beta}^{2} = G_{ij}k_{\alpha}^{i}k_{\beta}^{j}, \qquad f_{a} = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(G^{-1})^{ij}\tilde{k}_{i}\tilde{k}_{j}}}$$

Then N discrete gauge symmetries

$$\mathbb{Z}_i:\ \theta^i\to\theta^i+2\pi$$

Is equivalent to

$$\mathbb{Z}_a: \ \frac{a}{f_a} \to \frac{a}{f_a} + 2\pi, \quad \zeta^{\alpha} \to \zeta^{\alpha} - 2\pi \ \Gamma^{\alpha}$$

and N-1 transformations of ζ^{α}

$$\mathbb{Z}_{\zeta^{\alpha}}: \ \zeta^{\alpha} \to \zeta^{\alpha} + 2\pi$$



$$\mathbb{Z}_a: \ \frac{a}{f_a} \to \frac{a}{f_a} + 2\pi, \quad \zeta^{\alpha} \to \zeta^{\alpha} - 2\pi \ \Gamma^{\alpha} \qquad \qquad \mathbb{Z}_{\zeta^{\alpha}}: \ \zeta^{\alpha} \to \zeta^{\alpha} + 2\pi$$



$$\mathbb{Z}'_{a}: \ \frac{a}{f_{a}} \to \frac{a}{f_{a}} + 2\pi, \ \phi_{I} \to \phi_{I} \ e^{-i2\pi q_{I\alpha}\Gamma^{\alpha}} \qquad \mathbb{Z}'_{\zeta^{\alpha}}: \ \phi_{I} \to \phi_{I}$$



with a field redefinition of matters $\phi_I \rightarrow \phi_I \exp(-q_{I\alpha}\Gamma^{\alpha}a/f_a)$

$$\mathbb{Z}_a'': \ \frac{a}{f_a} \to \frac{a}{f_a} + 2\pi, \quad \phi_I \to \phi_I$$

The basis in which the periodicity of the axion is manifest!

General form in the new field basis

After integrating out gauge fields

$$\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e_{\alpha}^{2}}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F^{\alpha\,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}G_{ij}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{i} - k_{\alpha}^{i}A_{\mu}^{\alpha})(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{j} - k_{\alpha}^{j}A_{\mu}^{\alpha}) + \frac{(\mathbf{r}_{i}\theta^{i})}{32\pi^{2}}G\tilde{G} + \left(\lambda_{o}\,e^{i\mathbf{n}_{i}^{0}\theta^{i}}\prod_{I}\phi_{I}^{x_{I}^{0}}(x) + h.c.\right) + \kappa_{Ji}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{i} - k_{\alpha}^{i}A_{\mu}^{\alpha})J_{\phi}^{\mu} + \cdots$$
$$\mathbf{L}_{eff} = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_{\mu}a)^{2} + \frac{\mathbf{r}_{i}\ell^{i}}{32\pi^{2}}\frac{a}{f_{a}}G\tilde{G} + \left(\lambda_{o}\,e^{i\,\mathbf{n}_{i}^{0}\ell^{i}\,a/f_{a}}\prod_{I}\phi_{I}^{x_{I}^{0}}(x) + h.c.\right) \\+ \frac{1}{f_{a}}(\partial_{\mu}a)\left(\kappa_{Ji}(\ell^{i}+\Gamma^{\alpha}k_{\alpha}^{i})J_{\phi}^{\mu}+\Gamma^{\alpha}J_{\alpha}^{\mu}(\phi)\right)\cdots$$

All non-derivative couplings of the axion are quantized in the unit of $1/f_a$:

$$r_i \ell^i, \ n_i^o \ell^i \in \mathbb{Z} \quad \rightarrow \quad \mathcal{L}_{eff}(a) = \mathcal{L}_{eff}(a + 2\pi f_a)$$

* It is trivial that the scalar potentials of the axion should be $V_{eff}(a) = V_{eff}(a + 2\pi f_a)$ * Derivative interactions (as the result of field redefinition) should be considered for the basis independent physical processes involving the axion.

Caveat?

Anomaly cancelation without light fermions with generalized CS terms?

Preprint typeset in JHEP style - HYPER VERSION

hep-th/0605225 CPHT-RR017.0306, ROM2F/2006/10 LPT-ORSAY-06-34, CERN-PH-TH/2006-084

Anomalies, Anomalous U(1)'s and generalized Chern-Simons terms

P. Anastasopoulos¹, M. Bianchi², E. Dudas^{3,4,5}, E. Kiritsis^{3,6}

¹Department of Physics, University of Athens, Panepistimiopolis, Zografou- 157 84 Athens, GREECE

² Dipartimento di Fisica, Università di Roma "Tor Vergata" I.N.F.N. - Sezione di Roma "Tor Vergata" Via della Ricerca Scientifica, 1 - 00133 Roma, ITALY

³ CPHT, UMR du CNRS 7644, Ecole Polytechnique, 91128 Palaiseau, FRANCE

⁴ LPT, UMR du CNRS 8627, Bat. 210, Univ. Paris-Sud, 91405 Orsay Cedex, FRANCE

⁵ CERN Theory Division, CH-1211, Geneva 23, SWITZERLAND

⁶ Department of Physics, University of Crete, 71003 Heraklion, GREECE

Caveat?

Anomaly cancelation without light fermions with generalized CS terms?

 $\mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4e_{\sim}^{2}}F_{\mu\nu}^{\alpha}F^{\alpha\,\mu\nu} + \frac{1}{2}G_{ij}\left(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{i} - \frac{k_{\alpha}^{i}}{k_{\alpha}}A_{\mu}^{\alpha}\right)\left(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{j} - \frac{k_{\alpha}^{j}}{k_{\alpha}}A_{\mu}^{\alpha}\right)$ $+\frac{\left(r_{i}\theta^{i}\right)}{32\pi^{2}}G\tilde{G}+\left(\lambda_{o}\ e^{in_{i}^{o}\theta^{i}}\prod_{I}\phi_{I}^{x_{I}^{o}}\left(x\right)+h.c.\right)+\frac{c_{\alpha}}{32\pi^{2}}A_{\mu}^{\alpha}K_{CS}^{\mu}+\cdots$ G^a_μ where $\partial_{\mu}K^{\mu}_{CS} = G\tilde{G}$ Then under the $U(1)_{\alpha}$, $\frac{c_{\alpha}}{32\pi^2} A^{\alpha}_{\mu} K^{\mu}_{CS} \rightarrow \frac{c_{\alpha}}{32\pi^2} (\partial_{\mu} \Lambda^{\alpha}) K^{\mu}_{CS}$ $= -\frac{c_{\alpha}}{32\pi^2}\Lambda^{\alpha} \left(\partial_{\mu}K^{\mu}_{CS}\right) + \text{(total derivative)} = -\frac{c_{\alpha}}{32\pi^2}\Lambda^{\alpha}G\tilde{G}$ Possibility to cancel the contribution from axion couplings, $\frac{(r_i \theta^i)}{22\pi^2} G\tilde{G} \rightarrow \frac{(r_i k_{\alpha}^i)}{22\pi^2} \Lambda^{\alpha} G\tilde{G}$ without fermions

Caveat? No

However, GCS terms are not invariant under the non-abelian gauge transformation of G_{μ}^{a} : $G \rightarrow UGU^{+} + i U\partial_{\mu}U^{+}$. Cancelation for both gauge non-invariant contributions need light fermions.



Consequently,

$$r_i k_{\alpha}^i = \sum_{\psi_I} 2q_{I\alpha} \operatorname{Tr}[T(\psi_I)^2], \qquad n_i^o k_{\alpha}^i = \sum_I q_{I\alpha} x_I^o$$

should hold independently from existence of GCS terms.

Lessons

The axion field range $(2\pi f_a)$ is determined by the kinetic term of the axions regardless of detailed mechanism for generating scalar potentials and interactions.

We can always take the field basis in which all non-derivative couplings of the axion are quantized in the unit of $1/f_a$ so that the scalar potential of the axion is $2\pi f_a$ - periodic if there is no other mechanism to extend the period like the clockwork mechanism

This does not mean that all scattering amplitudes of the axion are suppressed by $O\left(\frac{1}{f_a}\right)$.

Examples

Two Stückelberg axions with a massive fermion

Again from the previous example with ($f_1 \gg f_2$, $q_L = 1/2$, $q_R = 1/2$, $n_1 = 0$, $n_2 = 1$)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{eff} &= \frac{1}{2} \left(\partial_{\mu} a \right)^2 + \frac{1}{32\pi^2} \frac{f_2^2}{f_1^2 + f_2^2} \frac{a}{f_a} G \tilde{G} \\ &+ \bar{\psi}_{L/R} i \bar{\sigma}^{\mu} D_{\mu} \psi_{L/R} - \left[\mu_{\psi} \exp\left(-i \frac{f_1^2}{f_1^2 + f_2^2} \frac{a}{f_a} \right) \psi_L \psi_R + h. c. \right] \\ &f_a = \frac{f_1 f_2}{\sqrt{f_1^2 + f_2^2}} \end{aligned}$$

Physically equivalent expression with the field redefinition: $\psi_{L/R} \rightarrow \psi_{L/R} \exp\left(i \frac{f_1^2/2}{f_1^2 + f_2^2} \frac{a}{f_a}\right)$

$$=\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu}a\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{32\pi^{2}}\frac{a}{f_{a}}G\tilde{G}+\bar{\psi}_{L/R}i\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}D_{\mu}\psi_{L/R}-\left(\mu_{\psi}\psi_{L}\psi_{R}+h.c.\right)-\frac{f_{1}^{2}/2}{f_{1}^{2}+f_{2}^{2}}\frac{\partial_{\mu}a}{f_{a}}\bar{\psi}_{L/R}\bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\psi_{L/R}$$

For the axion-gluon-gluon scattering amplitude



Two Stückelberg axions with a massive fermion



For the axion-gluon-gluon scattering amplitude, the large scale dependence can provide quite different cosmological history (e.g. thermal production of the axion)



Multiple Stückelberg axions

With the min(max) eigenvalues of G as $f_{\min}^2(f_{\max}^2)$

$$\frac{f_{\min}}{\|\tilde{k}\|} < f_a = \frac{1}{\sqrt{(G^{-1})^{ij}\tilde{k}_i\tilde{k}_j}} < \frac{f_{\max}}{\|\tilde{k}\|}$$

For $N \gg 1$ and generic U(1) charges, $\|\tilde{k}\| \sim \sqrt{N!} \langle (k_{\alpha}^{i})^{2} \rangle^{\frac{N-1}{2}}$, so that the axion field range $2\pi f_{\alpha}$ is exponentially suppressed compared to the originally introduced one $2\pi f$

$$f_a \sim \frac{f}{\sqrt{N!} \left\langle (k_\alpha^i)^2 \right\rangle^{\frac{N-1}{2}}} \ll f$$

Q: Is the coupling between the axion and matters also of $O\left(\frac{1}{f_a}\right)$?

A: NO! because the axion coupling through the mixing with θ^i is proportional to

$$\left\langle a \middle| \theta^{i} \right\rangle = \frac{(G^{-1})^{ij} \tilde{k}_{j}}{(G^{-1})^{ij} \tilde{k}_{i} \tilde{k}_{j}} \frac{1}{f_{a}} \sim \frac{1}{\left\| \tilde{k} \right\|} \frac{1}{f_{a}} \ll \frac{1}{f_{a}} \qquad (\text{much suppressed}),$$

which means that the scalar potential $\left(e. g. \Lambda^4_* \cos \frac{a}{f_a}\right)$ of the axion generically needs $O(\|\tilde{k}\|)$ insertions of the operators: "highly" protected PQ symmetry: $a/f_a \rightarrow a/f_a + c$, $(c \in \mathbb{R})$

Stückelberg Clockwork

The simple and clear example is the CW type charge assignment:

[Bonnefoy, Dudas, Pokorski 1804.01112] [Choi, CSS, Yun 1909.11685]

$$\frac{1}{2}f^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{1} - A^{1}_{\mu})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}f^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{2} + qA^{1}_{\mu} - A^{2}_{\mu})^{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}f^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{N} + qA^{N-1}_{\mu})^{2}$$

$$\begin{array}{c|c} \theta_1 \\ \hline (1) \\ \hline (1) \\ \hline (-q,1) \\ \hline (-q,1) \\ \hline (-q,1) \\ \hline (-q,1) \\ \hline (0) \\ \hline (1) \\ \hline (-q,1) \\ \hline (1) \hline \hline (1) \\ \hline (1) \\ \hline (1) \hline \hline (1) \hline \hline (1) \\ \hline (1) \hline (1) \hline \hline (1)$$

$$a = f \frac{q^{N-1}\theta_1 + q^{N-2} \theta_2 \dots + \theta_N}{\sqrt{1 + q^2 + \dots + q^{2(N-1)}}}$$

Stückelberg Clockwork

The simple and clear example is the CW type charge assignment:

$$\frac{1}{2}f^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{1} - A^{1}_{\mu})^{2} + \frac{1}{2}f^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{2} + qA^{1}_{\mu} - A^{2}_{\mu})^{2} + \cdots + \frac{1}{2}f^{2}(\partial_{\mu}\theta^{N} + qA^{N-1}_{\mu})^{2}$$

The axion field range $2\pi f_a$ and the mixing between the axion and original fields θ^i are

$$f_a = \sqrt{\frac{q^2 - 1}{q^{2N} - 1}} f \sim q^{-(N-1)} f, \qquad \langle a | \theta^i \rangle = \frac{q^2 - 1}{(q^N - q^{-N})q^i} \frac{1}{f_a} \sim \frac{1}{q^i f_a}$$

The axion field range is hidden in the individual axion couplings.

* An example of highly protecting the PQ symmetry (N = 3, q = 3): Introducing $N U(1)_{\alpha}$ charged complex scalars ($\phi_I \rightarrow \phi_I e^{i\delta_{\alpha}^I \Lambda^{\alpha}}$) allows PQ breaking potentials at tree level

$$\Delta V_{PQV} = \epsilon_1 e^{i4\theta^1} \phi_1^{*4} + \epsilon_2 e^{i\theta^2} \phi_1^3 \phi_2^* + \epsilon_3 e^{i\theta^3} \phi_2^3 \phi_3^* + \epsilon_4 \phi_4^{*4} + h.c.$$

However the axion potential is only generated at 27-th loop as

$$V_{eff}(a) \sim \frac{\epsilon_1^9 \epsilon_2^{12} \epsilon_3^4 \epsilon_4}{(16\pi^2)^{27}} \Lambda^4 \cos \frac{a}{f_a}$$



However the axion potential is only generated at 27-th loop as

$$V_{eff}(a) \sim \frac{\epsilon_1^9 \epsilon_2^{12} \epsilon_3^4 \epsilon_4}{(16\pi^2)^{27}} \Lambda^4 \cos \frac{a}{f_a}$$

Summary

The non-trivial light ALP can be generated by Stückelberg mixing: some of combinations become heavy by Stückelberg (Higgs) mechanism, and the gauge invariant combination becomes light.

We showed that the axion field range is unambiguously determined by the kinetic term of the Stückelberg axions: the large field excursion during cosmological evolution is (generically) forbidden. This can be manifest in a certain field basis

The counter-intuitive examples about the relation between the couplings (decay constant) and the axion period are studied.

For the case with the large number of Stückelberg axions, the axion field range is exponentially suppressed, which leads to the highly protected axion shift symmetry.