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GUTs from F-theory

- F-theory GUT models have recently attracted a lot of attention, and shown to contain a number of phenomenological virtues.
- They provide a new way to build realistic 4d models from string theory.
- They contain the best of both heterotic and D-brane worlds.
Introducing D-branes

- **Dp-branes** are solitonic objects that appear in 10D superstring and supergravity theories.

- In **supergravity**, they are seen as lumps of energy extended along **p+1 dimensions** with certain
  - Charge
  - Tension

- In **string theory**, they can be described at a more fundamental level, namely as **p+1 dimensional hypersurfaces** where open string endpoints are confined.

\[ D = \text{Dirichlet boundary cond.} \]
Introducing D-branes

- This string theory description of D-branes allows to unveil one of their most fundamental properties.

- General picture:
  - Confined (p+1) dim. gauge theory
  - Unconfined 10 dim. gravity
Why do we like D-branes?
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- Closed strings give rise to 10d gravity

- Kaluza-Klein idea: 6d small and compact

- Gauge interactions are localised on Dp-branes which have
  - 3+1 observable dim.
  - p-3 internal dim.

- Simplest example: 3 + 2 + 1 D3-branes

  => 4D U(3) x U(2) x U(1) gauge theory

  - SM physics only depends on a certain region of X_6 (local model)
  - However, this example lacks of a key property of the SM: Chiral Fermions
The quest for the Standard Model

Question: Can we reproduce the Standard Model from D-branes?

- Four observable dimensions
- Gauge group $SU(3) \times SU(2) \times U(1)_Y$
- Chiral fermions
- 3 Quarks & Leptons generations
- Gauge coupling constants
- Yukawa couplings
Getting chirality
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Getting chirality

+ In type II string theory we get chirality via higher dimensional D-branes

+ The same idea works for F-theory

✦ 4d Chirality

we modify the Dirac operator to achieve chirality

\[ D = \nabla + i (qA + qA) \]
Getting coupling unification

- In type II string theory we get similar set of gauge couplings when all the D-branes have the same internal size.

- The same approach works in F-theory.

- Local Models $\rightarrow$ gauge coupling unification

\[ \alpha_i^{-1} \sim \text{Vol}(D_i) + \int_{D_i} F^2 \]
D-branes and GUTs

- **Local D-brane models** are very suggestive for constructing **4d GUTs**
- In particular, one could consider a model made of **5+1 Dp-branes**
  \[ \text{SU}(5) \times U(1)_a \times U(1)_b \text{ gauge group}, \text{ 10, 5}^* \text{ and } 5_H \text{ representations} \]
- However, the presence of **U(1)_a** forbids the Yukawa coupling **10 \times 10 \times 5_H**
  \[ \Rightarrow \text{Top Yukawa needs to be generated by non-perturbative effects} \]
D-branes and GUTs are no good

- **Local D-brane models** are very suggestive for constructing 4d GUTs
  
  - In particular, one could consider a model made of 5+1 Dp-branes
    \[ [\text{SU}(5) \times \text{U}(1)_a] \times \text{U}(1)_b \text{ gauge group}, 10, 5^* \text{ and } 5_H \text{ representations} \]
  
  - However, the presence of \( \text{U}(1)_a \) forbids the Yukawa coupling \( 10 \times 10 \times 5_H \)
    \[ \Rightarrow \text{Top Yukawa needs to be generated by non-perturbative effects} \]

  **Solution:** Consider F-theory GUTs

**F-theory:**

- Relative of type IIB with D7-branes that allows for more general gauge groups like \( E_6, E_7, E_8 \)
  
  \[ \text{This translates into less selection rules for Yukawa couplings, as in heterotic strings} \]

**References:** Beasley, Heckman, Vafa '08, Donagi & Wijnholt '08
F-theory GUTs

- **Chirality**: same as for D7-branes
  - GUT **gauge group** localised in 4-cycle $S$
  - Matter localised in 2-cycles $\Sigma$ inside $S$
  - Yukawas arise at intersection points

---

Beasley, Heckman, Vafa’08
Donagi & Wijnholt’08
F-theory GUTs

- In order to achieve chirality and family replication, 7-brane fluxes on S are needed
- One of them can be taken along the hypercharge generator ⇒ breaking of the GUT gauge group

\[
SU(5) \xrightarrow{F_Y} SU(3) \times SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y
\]

\[
Q_Y = \begin{pmatrix}
2 &  &  \\
2 & 2 &  \\
-3 & -3 & -3
\end{pmatrix} \subset SU(5)
\]

- This affects gauge couplings constants and Yukawa couplings...

\[
10_M \times 10_M \times 5_H \xrightarrow{F_Y} \quad 10_M \times \bar{5}_M \times \bar{5}_H
\]

\[
\lambda^{ij}_u Q^i U^j H_u + \lambda^{ij}_d Q^i D^j H_d + \lambda^{ij}_l L^i E^j H_d
\]
Key promises of F-theory GUTs @ 2008

- **Gauge coupling unification**
- **Realistic Yukawa couplings**
  - Tree level top Yukawa (b.t. type II)
  - Local computation (b.t. heterotic)
- **Doublet-triplet splitting via hypercharge flux**

Taken from Beasley, Heckman, Vafa '08
F-theory Yukawas

- Despite their differences, one can easily gain intuition in understanding F-theory Yukawas in terms of their type IIB and heterotic cousins.

- Like for heterotic strings in CYs, one may compute Yukawas from dim. red. of a higher dimensional field theory.

Beasley, Heckman, Vafa’08

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heterotic</th>
<th>F-theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10d SYM</td>
<td>8d tw. YM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W = \int_X \Omega \wedge \text{Tr} (A \wedge F')$</td>
<td>$W = \int_S \text{Tr} (F \wedge \Phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_X = E_8 \times E_8, SO(32)$</td>
<td>$G_S = SO(2N), E_6, E_7, E_8, \ldots$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
F-theory Yukawas

- Despite their differences, one can easily gain intuition in understanding F-theory Yukawas in terms of their type IIB and heterotic cousins.
- Like for heterotic strings in CYs, one may compute Yukawas from dim. red. of a higher dimensional field theory.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Heterotic</th>
<th>F-theory</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10d SYM</td>
<td>8d tw. YM</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W = \int_X \Omega \wedge \text{Tr} (A \wedge F)$</td>
<td>$W = \int_S \text{Tr} (F \wedge \Phi)$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$G_X = E_8 \times E_8, SO(32)$</td>
<td>$G_S = SO(2N), E_6, E_7, E_8...$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Computation of zero mode wavefunctions in a certain background.
- Yukawas = triple overlap of wavefunctions.
Key features of Yukawa couplings

- Computed via dim. red. of a 8d gauge theory on $S_{\text{GUT}}$
- Depend on ultra-local data around some points in $S_{\text{GUT}}$ (holomorphic Yukawas on fewer data)
  \[ \langle \Phi \rangle, \langle F \rangle \rightarrow D\psi = 0 \]
- Such local data parametrise our ignorance on the global features of the compactification

Taken from Camara, Ibañez, Valenzuela '14
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But generating a wide region of local data with realistic Yukawas is not as easy as it may seem...

First step: robust mechanism for family hierarchies
Rank one Yukawas

- F-theory comes with a mechanism to have one quark/lepton family much heavier than the other two

- We may host several families of chiral fermions in a single matter curve by means of a worldvolume flux threading it

- Holomorphic Yukawas independent of this flux. Their maximal rank only depends on a topological invariant: the curves intersections

Cecotti, Cheng, Heckman, Vafa '09

Single triple intersection

rank one Yukawas
F-theory comes with a mechanism to have one quark/lepton family much heavier than the other two.

We may host several families of chiral fermions in a single matter curve by means of a worldvolume flux threading it.

Holomorphic Yukawas independent of this flux. Their maximal rank only depends on a topological invariant: the curves intersections.

If we break this rank one result by a small amount we may generate a hierarchical pattern of fermion masses.
Non-perturbative effects

- Even if the SM sector is localised in a particular region of the extra dimensions, some other gauge sectors can probe other regions/scales of $X_6$:
  - **Hidden gauge sectors** made up of D-brane on other regions of $X_6$
  - D-branes which are point-like in 4d $\Rightarrow$ D-brane instantons

\[ Y_{ijk} \, e^{-2\pi T} \]
\[ T = \rho + i\phi \]
Adding non-perturbative effects

- Non-perturbative effects like **E3-brane instantons** will increase the rank of the Yukawa matrix while maintaining the family mass hierarchy.

- In the case of **plain D3-instantons** we have

\[
W_7 = W_7^{\text{tree}} + W^{\text{np}}
\]

\[
W_7 = \int_S \text{Tr} (F \wedge \Phi) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_S \theta_0 \text{Tr} (F \wedge F)
\]

\[
\epsilon \sim e^{-T_{np}}
\]

\[
W^{\text{np}} = m^4_* \epsilon \left[ \int_S \theta_0 \text{Tr} F^2 + \int_S \theta_1 \text{Tr}(\Phi_{xy} F^2) + \int_S \theta_2 \text{STr}(\Phi_{xy}^2 F^2) + \ldots \right]
\]
Adding non-perturbative effects

- The expression
  \[ W_7 = \int_S \text{Tr} (F \wedge \Phi) + \frac{\epsilon}{2} \int_S \theta_0 \text{Tr} (F \wedge F) \]
  allows to carry the computation of np-corrected Yukawas at the local level

- Holomorphic Yukawas can also be computed via a residue formula. They depend on \( \epsilon \) and \( \theta_0 \) but not on worldvolume fluxes.

- Physical Yukawas are computed by solving for the MSSM fields internal wavefunctions and performing local dim. red. in the deformed theory.

  - SO(12) enhancement (down-type Yukawas)  \( \text{Font. Ibañez, F.M., Regalado'12} \)
  - E\text{6} enhancement (up-type Yukawas)  \( \text{Font. F.M., Regalado, Zoccarato'13} \)
  - E\text{7} and E\text{8} enhancement (both Yukawas +CKM)  \( \text{F.M., Regalado, Zoccarato'15} \)
  \( \text{Carta, F.M., Zoccarato'15} \)
Local model data

\[ \langle \Phi \rangle \] contains the 7-brane intersection angles: \( \mu, m \)

Non-perturbative effect encoded in \( \epsilon, \theta_0 \)

\[ \langle F \rangle \] generates chirality and family replication at matter curves, enters via flux densities: \( N_i, M_j \)

\[ \langle F_Y \rangle \] breaks \( G_{\text{GUT}} \rightarrow G_{\text{MSSM}} \), enters via densities \( N_Y, \tilde{N}_Y \)

Example: \( SU(5) \)

\[
\begin{align*}
5_{H_u} & \times 10 \times 10 \\
\bar{5}_{H_d} & \times \bar{5} \times 10
\end{align*}
\]

\[ F_Y \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\lambda^{ij}_u Q^i U^j H_u \\
\lambda^{ij}_d Q^i D^j H_d + \lambda^{ij}_l L^i E^j H_d
\end{align*}
\]

The presence of \( \langle F \rangle \) also localises wavefunctions along matter curves and allows an ultra-local computation of Yukawa couplings

Not all of these parameters will be independent in a global model.
General results

• Assuming $\theta_0 = i(\theta_{00} + x \theta_x + y \theta_y)$ one obtains, at the holomorphic level

$$\frac{Y^{\text{hol}}}{Y_{33}^{\text{hol}}} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^2)$$

and so a family hierarchy $(1, \epsilon, \epsilon^2)$, independent of worldvolume fluxes.
General results

- On the other hand, the physical Yukawas

\[
Y_{\text{phys}}^{ij} = \gamma_i \gamma_j \gamma H Y_{\text{hol}}^{ij}
\]

depend on fluxes via the normalisation factors \( \gamma_i \)

- Such \( \gamma_i \)'s are the normalisation of the wavefunctions

\[
\gamma_i^{-2} \propto \int dy e^{-\pi |F||y|^2} |f^i(y)|^2
\]

They depend on the family

They depend on the flux \( F \)

\[
\gamma_i \propto \left( \frac{\pi}{\sqrt{2}} |F|, \sqrt{\pi} |F|^{1/2}, 1 \right) \quad F = N + N_Y
\]

- Higher hypercharge \( \Rightarrow \) thinner wavefunction
  \( \Rightarrow \) larger quotients \( \Rightarrow \)

\[
\frac{m_\mu}{m_\tau} \simeq 3 \frac{m_s}{m_b} \quad \text{for} \quad \frac{N_Y}{N} \simeq 1.8
\]
The E$_7$ and E$_8$ stories

- One may build a local model where all Yukawas arise from a single patch of $S_{GUT}$, described by either an E$_7$ or E$_8$ local symmetry broken by $\Phi$ to SU(5)

- Several classes of models, classified by the structure of $\Phi$

- Few of them give the appropriate hierarchy (1, $\epsilon$, $\epsilon^2$)

- Essentially only one model yields realistic Yukawas. Realised on E$_7$ and E$_8$, with same parametric dependence on local data

- Yukawas have a complicated dependence on flux densities through the normalisation factors $y$, but ratios of charged lepton mass ratios have simpler expressions

$$\frac{m_{\mu}/m_{\tau}}{m_s/m_b} = \sqrt{\frac{(x - 1)\left(y - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{(x - \frac{1}{6})(y - \frac{1}{3})}}, \quad x = -\frac{M_1}{N_Y}, \quad y = -\frac{M_2}{N_Y}$$
Fitting Yukawas for charged leptons

- In this model one is able to fit charged fermion masses for the 3rd and 2nd families at the GUT scale assuming an MSSM scheme

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$\tan \beta$</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>38</th>
<th>50</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$m_d/m_s$</td>
<td>$5.1 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$5.1 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$5.1 \pm 0.7 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_s/m_b$</td>
<td>$1.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.7 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$1.6 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_e/m_{\mu}$</td>
<td>$4.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>$4.8 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_\mu/m_\tau$</td>
<td>$5.9 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$5.4 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$5.0 \pm 0.2 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m_b/m_\tau$</td>
<td>$0.73 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.73 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>$0.73 \pm 0.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_\tau$</td>
<td>$0.070 \pm 0.003$</td>
<td>$0.32 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.51 \pm 0.04$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_b$</td>
<td>$0.051 \pm 0.002$</td>
<td>$0.23 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>$0.37 \pm 0.02$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$Y_t$</td>
<td>$0.48 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.49 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>$0.51 \pm 0.04$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ross & Serna '07

*typical value: $\tan \beta \sim 10-20$*
**CKM matrix**

- In this scheme one is also able to compute the CKM matrix

- If both Yukawa points $p_{up}$ and $p_{down}$ coincide there is no mixing, but when we separate them a source of family mixing is induced

Comparing $V_{tb}$ with the experimental value sets the separation of points $\sim R_{GUT}/100$

*Randall & Simmons-Duffins '09*

*Taken from Aparicio et al. '12*
Conclusions

• To build a string model, we need to reproduce a “wish list” of SM features. The first items of the list are more universal, as well as more robust with respect to corrections. Further items are usually more model-dependent.

• A key feature is chirality. One can classify models by how chiral fermions arise.

• A quantity difficult to reproduce are Yukawa couplings, but vacua based on F-theory local models can realise a hierarchical structure in a natural way.

• Key mechanism: stringy non-perturbative effects of strength $\epsilon$. They increase the Yukawa rank from one to three $\rightarrow$ hierarchy of eigenvalues $O(1), O(\epsilon), O(\epsilon^2)$.

• Wavefunction normalization depends on local data like internal flux densities $F$. Allows to accommodate a large top Yukawa and realistic MSSM mass ratios via $F_Y$ GUT breaking, more flexible than 4d GUTs.

• Local result $\rightarrow$ hierarchy is universal upon global completion. Precise mass values depend on local parameters $\rightarrow$ determined by vacuum choice in the Landscape.